

The 2 reggeons to 2 reggeon + particle effective vertex ($A_+ A_+ A_- A_- V_\nu$) in the Lipatov effective action formalism

Pozdnyakov Semyon

Saint-Petersburg State University

semyon.pozdnyakov@hep.phys.spbu.ru


In collaboration with M.A.Braun, M.Yu.Salykin and M.I.Vyazovsky

September 17, 2014

- 1 Introduction, dipole model
- 2 Formalism developed by L. Lipatov
- 3 J.Bartels formalism and L. Lipatov formalism
- 4 Formalism developed by J.Bartels
- 5 Conclusion

Introduction

We study the processes of nucleus-nucleus interaction.



Each pomeron in the dipole model can also split into two ones through a certain known triple pomeron vertex




Figure: Pomeron fan diagram

Our immediate problem is to take into account the four-pomeron interaction.




Figure: An example it's four-pomeron interaction in nucleus-nucleus

Interaction of gluons with 4 quarks inside two heavy nuclei with atomic numbers A and B provides factor F^2 where

$$F = (AB)^{2/3} (N_c \alpha_s)^2$$





Figure: Simplest diagrams generated by the triple-pomeron interaction

$$C_{1A} = f^{ae_1 e_2} f^{e_2 e_3 a} f^{e_3 e_4 b} f^{e_4 e_5 b} f^{e_5 e_6 d} f^{e_6 e_7 d} f^{e_7 e_8 c} f^{e_8 e_1 c} = N_c^4 (N_c^2 - 1)$$

and


$$C_{1B} = f^{ace_1} f^{e_1 e_2 a} f^{e_2 e_3 e_4} f^{e_3 ce_5} f^{e_4 e_6 b} f^{e_6 e_5 e_7} f^{e_7 de_8} f^{e_8 db} = N_c^4 (N_c^2 - 1)$$




Of these N_c^4 come from external participants which leaves N_c^2 . So we find

$$(1A) \sim (1B) \sim F^2 \alpha_s^4 N_c^2$$

as it should be.



To estimate the factor coming from integrations over intermediate rapidities we shall include the BFKL evolution and crudely assume that the pomeron propagator behaves as $e^{\Delta y}$, where $\Delta \sim N_c \alpha_s$ and $\Delta \geq 0$. Let the total rapidity be Y .




Then two integrations in the diagram in Fig. 1 A give

$$I_{1A} = \int_0^Y dy_1 \int_0^{y_1} dy_2 e^{2\Delta(Y-y_1)} e^{\Delta(y_1-y_2)} e^{2\Delta y_2} = \frac{1}{\Delta} e^{2\Delta Y} \left[Y - \frac{1}{\Delta} (1 - e^{-\Delta Y}) \right]$$

and in the diagram in Fig. 1 B

$$I_{1B} = \int_0^Y dy_1 \int_0^{y_1} dy_2 e^{\Delta(Y-y_1)} e^{\Delta(Y-y_2)} e^{\Delta(y_1-y_2)} e^{\Delta y_1} e^{\Delta y_2} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Delta^2} e^{2\Delta Y} (e^{\Delta Y} - 1 - \Delta Y) \quad (1)$$




If we assumed that $Y \sim 1/(N_c \alpha_s) \gg 1$, so that $N_c \alpha_s Y \sim 1$.

$$I_{1A} = \frac{1}{\Delta} e^{2\Delta Y} \left[Y - \frac{1}{\Delta} (1 - e^{-\Delta Y}) \right] \sim 1/(N_c \alpha_s)^2$$

and

$$I_{1B} = \frac{1}{\Delta^2} e^{2\Delta Y} (e^{\Delta Y} - 1 - \Delta Y) \sim 1/(N_c \alpha_s)^2$$

As a result, in this region both contributions from Fig. 1 have the total order $F^2 \alpha_s^2$.



If we assumed that $\exp \Delta Y \gg 1$:

$$(1A) \sim F^2 \alpha_s^4 N_c^2 l_{1A} = F^2 \alpha_s^4 N_c^2 \frac{1}{\Delta} e^{2\Delta Y} \left[Y - \frac{1}{\Delta} (1 - e^{-\Delta Y}) \right] \sim F^2 \alpha_s^2 (N_c \alpha_s Y) e^{2\Delta Y}$$

$$(1B) \sim F^2 \alpha_s^4 N_c^2 l_{1B} = F^2 \alpha_s^4 N_c^2 \frac{1}{\Delta^2} e^{2\Delta Y} (e^{\Delta Y} - 1 - \Delta Y) \sim F^2 \alpha_s^2 e^{3\Delta Y}$$

We see that the diagram in Fig. 1 B dominates and it can be of the same order as the single BFKL exchange even for light nuclei provided

$$F \alpha^2 e^{2\Delta Y} \sim 1 \quad (2)$$

It is the same order if $F\alpha^2 e^{2\Delta Y} \sim 1$




Figure: Four-pomeron interaction




Figure: Single BFKL exchange

Lipatov effective action formalism

The effective Lagrangian describes interaction of the quark ψ and gluon $V_\mu = -iT^a V_\mu^a$ fields and their interaction with the independent reggeon field $A_\mu = -iT^b A_\mu^b$ with $A_\perp = 0$:



$$\mathcal{L}_{eff} = \mathcal{L}_{YM}(v_\mu) + \bar{\psi} \left(i\hat{\partial} + ig\hat{v} - M \right) \psi + \text{Tr} \left((\mathcal{A}_+(v_+) - A_+) \partial_\perp^2 A_- \right) + \text{Tr} \left((\mathcal{A}_-(v_-) - A_-) \partial_\perp^2 A_+ \right), \quad (3)$$

where \mathcal{L}_{YM} is the standard Yang-Mills Lagrangian,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_\pm(v_\pm) &= -\frac{1}{g} \partial_\pm \frac{1}{D_\pm} \partial_\pm * 1 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-g)^n v_\pm (\partial_\pm^{-1} v_\pm)^n = \\ &= v_\pm - g v_\pm \partial_\pm^{-1} v_\pm + g^2 v_\pm \partial_\pm^{-1} v_\pm \partial_\pm^{-1} v_\pm + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

and the shift of the field variable $v_\mu = V_\mu + A_\mu$. The reggeon fields are assumed to be subject to kinematic conditions $\partial_- A_+ = \partial_+ A_- = 0$

We have connection between dipole model and Lipatov formalism



M.A.Braun et al., arXiv: 1209.2490

Communication

Strictly speaking Bartels formalism - a restoration of the amplitude of multiple jump when consistently dissected all s-channel gluons.

"Lipatovs Formalism", or just Lipatovs action, allows us to calculate not only discontinuity, but any of the Green function and amplitude, but we have to deal with virtual particles with propagators that depend on the longitudinal momentum components which are not present in the formalism Bartels.

In Bartels formalism


According to [1] the general vertex for the transition of l gluons into m gluons with $l + m = n$ and subsequent reggeons going in turn to the projectile and target can be written in the form

$$K_{l \rightarrow m}(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) = \langle V_1 | T(k_2) T(k_3) \cdots T(k_{n-4}) | V_n \rangle, \quad (5)$$

where $V_1 = r_1 - k_1 \frac{r_1^2}{k_1^2} = -r_1^2 L(k_1, r_2)$,

$V_n = r_{n-1} + k_{n-3} \frac{r_{n-1}^2}{k_{n-3}^2} = -r_{n-1}^2 L(-k_{n-3}, r_n)$

$$T_{ij}(k) = \delta_{ij} - 2 \frac{k_i k_j}{k^2} \quad (6)$$



[1] J. Bartels, Nucl. Phys. B 175 (1980) 365.

However there are two problems related to this expression.

- 1 Diagrams do not include contributions from 4-gluon interaction
- 2 There are problems with factorization




Figure: Diagrams for $3 \rightarrow 3$ (left) and $4 \rightarrow 4$ (right) transitions, which are not included in Bartels formalism


$$K(2 \rightarrow 3) = r_1^2 r_4^2 L(k_1, r_2) L(-k_2, r_5) \quad (7)$$

where $k_1 - k_2 = r_3$. So one can present (7) in two equivalent forms

$$K(2 \rightarrow 3) = r_1^2 r_4^3 L(k_1, r_2) L(-k_1 + r_3, r_5) = r_1^2 r_4^2 L(k_1, r_2) B(-k_1, r_3, r_5) \quad (8)$$

or

$$K(2 \rightarrow 3) = r_1^2 r_4^2 L(k_2 + r_3, r_2) L(-k_2, r_5) = r_1^2 r_4^2 B(k_2, r_3, r_2) L(-k_2, r_5) \quad (9)$$




$$K(3 \rightarrow 3) = r_1^2 r_5^2 \left[L(k_1, r_2) L(-k_3, r_6) - 2 \frac{2}{k_2^2} \left(k_2 L(k_1, r_2) \right) \left(k_2 L(-k_3, r_6) \right) \right] \quad (10)$$

We can factorize it as

$$K(3 \rightarrow 3) = r_1^2 r_5^2 L(k_1, r_2) \left[L(-k_3, r_6) - 2 \frac{k_2}{k_2^2} \left(k_2 L(-k_3, r_6) \right) \right] \quad (11)$$


or as

$$K(3 \rightarrow 3) = r_1^2 r_5^2 \left[L(k_1, r_2) - 2 \frac{k_2}{k_2^2} \left(k_2 L(k_1, r_2) \right) \right] L(-k_3, r_6) \quad (12)$$



Conclusion

These are problems to be solved by calculating vertex
 $2R \rightarrow 2R + P$



Thank you for your attention