Current Status of the Muon g-2

A.E. Dorokhov (JINR, Dubna)

In collaboration with AE Radzhabov, AS Zhevlakov (ISDCT, Irkutsk)

- **1** Motivation
- 2 Electron g-2 and fine structure constant
- 3 Muon g-2 : Experiment vs Standard Model

4 Hadronic contributions to the Muon g-2

Introduction

Cosmology tell us that 95% of matter is not described in text-books yet. Dark Matter surrounds us! Where it is ?

Two search strategies:

1) High energy physics to excite heavy degrees of freedom. No any evidence till now. We live in LHC era!

2) Low energy physics to produce Rare processes in view of huge statistics.

There are some rough edges of SM.

Anomalous Magnetic Moment of the Muon $(g-2)_{\mu}$ is most famous and stable (for many years) example

That's intriguing



Dirac Equation Predicts for free point-like spin ½ charged particle:



g=2, a=(g-2)/2=0 (no anomaly)

a becomes nonzero due to interactions resulting in fermion substructure

The lowest order radiative correction (QED)



 $a_{l}=(g_{l}-2)/2$

 $a = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} = 0.001161$ Schwinger, 1948 $a_{\mu}^{exp} = 0.00119 \pm 0.00005$ Kush, Foley, 1948

Electron AMM

To measurable level a_e arises entirely from virtual electrons and photons

 $a_e^{exp} = 1\ 159\ 652\ 180.73(0.28)\ \cdot\ 10^{-12}\ [0.24\ ppb]$ Harvard 2008

$$a_e^{\text{SM}} = a_e(\text{QED}) + a_e(\text{hadron}) + a_e(\text{weak}),$$
$$a_e(\text{QED}) = \sum_{n=1}^5 C_{2n} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^n + \dots$$

The theoretical error is dominated by the uncertainty in the input value of the QED coupling $\alpha \equiv e^2/(4\pi)$

 $\alpha^{-1} = 137.035 999 1727(341) [0.25 ppb]$

QED is at the level of the best theory ever built to describe nature

Muon AMM: BNL result vs SM From ENL E821 g-2 experiment (1999-2006)

$$a_{\mu}^{\text{BNL}} = 11\ 659\ 208.0(6.3) \bullet 10^{-10}\ (0.54\ \text{ppm})$$

New Prop. E989 at Fermilab 0.14 ppm KEK/JParc

In Theory
$$a_{\mu} = \left\{a_{\mu}^{\text{QED}} + a_{\mu}^{\text{EW}} + a_{\mu}^{\text{Strong}}\right\}^{\text{SM}} + ???$$

The SM Value for a_{μ} from $e^+e^- \rightarrow hadrons$ (Updated 9/10)



 $a_{\mu}^{\rm SM} = 11\ 659\ 180.2(4.9) \bullet 10^{-10}$

From Standard Model

а

$$\Delta a_{\mu} = a_{\mu}^{\exp} - a_{\mu}^{SM} = 27.8(8.0) \bullet 10^{-10} \ (3.6\sigma!)$$

$$a_{\mu}^{\text{QED}} = 11\ 658\ 471.8951(0.0080) \bullet 10^{-10}$$

$$a_{\mu}^{EW} = 15.36(0.10) \bullet 10^{-10}$$

Czarnetski&Marciano&Vainshtein 2003 Gnendiger, Stockinger 2014

plus

р

the Hadronic Contribution estimated as

$$a_{\mu}^{\text{Strong}} = 693.0(4.9) \bullet 10^{-10} \ (<1\% \ \text{accuracy!})$$

M. Davier, A. Hoecker, B. Malaescu, Z. Zhang 2010; F. Jegerlehner, R. Szafron 2011

The main question how to get such accuracy from theory.



Strong contributions to Muon AMMM



$$a_{\mu}^{\rm HVP} = (692.3 \pm 4.2) \bullet 10^{-10}$$

Hadronic Vacuum polarization (Davier, Hoecker, Malaescu,Zhang 2011; Hagiwara, Martin, Teubner 2011)

Hadronic Vacuum Polarization contributes 99% and half of error Fixed by Experiment

$$a_{\mu}^{(2)\text{hvp}} = \frac{\alpha^2}{3\pi^2} \int_{4m_{\pi}^2}^{\infty} ds \quad \frac{K(s)}{s} \quad R^{(0)}(s)$$



$a_{\mu}^{\rm LbL} = (10.5 \pm 2.6) \bullet 10^{-10}$

Hadronic Light-by-Light Scattering (AED, A.Radzhabov, A.Zhevlakov 11-14; C.Fischer, T. Goecke, R.Williams 11-13)

> Light-by-light process contributes 1% and half of error

Model Dependent

II. Leading Order Hadronic contributions



Hadronic light-by-light contribution to muon g-2



$$\mathcal{M} = |e|^{7} A_{\beta} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{4} p_{1}}{(2\pi)^{4}} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{4} p_{2}}{(2\pi)^{4}} \frac{1}{q^{2} p_{1}^{2} p_{2}^{2} (p_{4}^{2} - m^{2}) (p_{5}^{2} - m^{2})} \\ \times \underline{\Pi^{\rho\nu\alpha\beta}(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3})} \bar{u}(p') \gamma_{\alpha}(\not p_{4} + m) \gamma_{\nu}(\not p_{5} + m) \gamma_{\rho} u(p)}$$

Structure of hadronic LbL contribution



Hierarchy in a) 1/Nc b) M μ /(4 π f $_{\pi}$)



AED, W. Broniowski PRD (08'), **Effective Model Approach**AED, A. Radzhabov, A. Zhevlakov (11'—14')

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{q}(x)(i\hat{\partial} - m_c)q(x) + \frac{G}{2}[J_S^a(x)J_S^a(x) + J_P^a(x)J_P^a(x)] - \frac{H}{4}T_{abc}[J_S^a(x)J_S^b(x)J_S^c(x) - 3J_S^a(x)J_P^b(x)J_P^c(x)], \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{split} J^a_M(x) &= \int d^4 x_1 d^4 x_2 \, f(x_1) f(x_2) \times \\ &\times \overline{Q}(x-x_1,x) \, \Gamma^a_M Q(x,x+x_2), \end{split}$$

$$Q\left(x,y\right) = \mathcal{P}\exp\left\{i\int_{x}^{y}dz^{\mu}V_{\mu}^{a}\left(z\right)T^{a}\right\}q\left(y\right)$$

Leading 1/Nc contribution





Nonperturbative QCD is simulated by Nonlocal Chiral Quark model

Quark Propagator

$$\frac{k}{k^2} \Longrightarrow S(k) = \frac{k + m(k^2)}{D(k^2)} \quad \frac{k^2 \to \infty}{k^2} \quad \frac{k}{k^2}$$

Quark - Photon Vertex

$$\gamma_{\mu} \Rightarrow \Gamma_{\mu} = \gamma_{\mu} + \Delta \Gamma_{\mu}(k,k') \quad \underline{k^{2} \rightarrow \infty} \quad \gamma_{\mu}, \text{ where } \Delta \Gamma_{\mu}(k,k')$$
guarantes WTI $(k' = k + q): \quad q_{\mu}\Gamma_{\mu} = S^{-1}(k') - S^{-1}(k)$



Quark - Pion vertex

$$\frac{1}{f_{\pi}}\gamma_{5} \Longrightarrow \Gamma_{\pi} = \frac{1}{f_{\pi}}\gamma_{5}F(k,k') \stackrel{k'^{2} \to \infty}{=} 0$$

The vertex F is equivalent of the light-cone pion WF

 $m(k^2)$ is related to nonlocal quark condensate and thus $m(k^2) \approx M_q e^{-C(k^2)^a}$ We use for the Dynamical Quark Mass $m(k^2) = M_q \exp(-2\Lambda k^2)$

A) Meson exchange LbL contribution – "Goat" diagram



μ

$$\begin{split} a_{\mu}^{\text{LbL,PS}} &= -\frac{2\alpha^3}{3\pi^2} \int\limits_{0}^{\infty} dq_1^2 \int\limits_{0}^{\infty} dq_2^2 \int\limits_{-1}^{1} dt \sqrt{1 - t^2} \frac{1}{q_3^2} \times \\ &\times \sum_{a=\pi^0,\eta,\eta'} \left[2 \frac{\mathbf{F}_{a^*\gamma^*\gamma^*} \left(q_2^2; q_1^2, q_3^2\right) \mathbf{F}_{a^*\gamma^*\gamma} \left(q_2^2; q_2^2, 0\right)}{q_2^2 + M_a^2} \mathbf{F}_{a^*\gamma^*\gamma^*} \left(q_3^2; q_1^2, q_2^2\right) \mathbf{F}_{a^*\gamma^*\gamma} \left(q_3^2; q_3^2, 0\right)}{q_3^2 + M_a^2} \mathbf{I}_2 \right], \end{split}$$



Phenomenological and QCD Constraints are used to reduce Model Dependence

Sum of PS(π , η , η ') and S(σ ,a0(980),f0(980)) exchange contributions to a _u

AED, AE Radzhabov, AS Zhevlakov (11'-14')



 $a_{\mu}^{\text{LbL,PS+S}} = (6.19 \pm 0.95) \cdot 10^{-10}$

B) Contribution of Dynamical Quark Box to a $_{\mu}$

$$a_{\mu}^{\text{HLbL}} = \frac{1}{48m_{\mu}} \text{Tr}[(\hat{p} + m_{\mu})[\gamma^{\rho}, \gamma^{\sigma}](\hat{p} + m_{\mu})\Pi_{\rho\sigma}(p, p)]$$

(n' n)

$$\Pi_{\rho\sigma}(p,p) = -ie^{6} \int \frac{d^{4}q_{1}}{(2\pi)^{4}} \int \frac{d^{4}q_{2}}{(2\pi)^{4}} \frac{1}{q_{1}^{2}q_{2}^{2}(q_{1}+q_{2}-k)^{2}} \\ \times \gamma^{\mu} \frac{\hat{p}'-\hat{q}_{1}+m_{\mu}}{(p'-q_{1})^{2}-m_{\mu}^{2}} \gamma^{\nu} \frac{\hat{p}-\hat{q}_{1}-\hat{q}_{2}+m_{\mu}}{(p-q_{1}-q_{2})^{2}-m_{\mu}^{2}} \gamma^{\lambda} \\ \times \frac{\partial}{\partial k^{\rho}} \Pi_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}(q_{1},q_{2},k-q_{1}-q_{2}),$$

П



$$a^{Box} = \iint_{0}^{\infty} dQ_1 dQ_2 \rho(Q_1, Q_2)$$

 $Q_4 \rightarrow 0$, Q3= - Q2 - Q1

Estimates of Hadronic Contributions in different Approaches

Model	π^0	PS	S	AV	Quark	$\pi, K-$	Total
		$\left(\pi^{0},\eta,\eta'\right)$	(σ, f_0, a_0)		loop	loops	
VMD (Hayakawa [24])	5.74(0.36)	8.27(0.64)		0.17(0.10)	0.97(1.11)	-0.45(0.81)	8.96(1.54)
ENJL (Bijnens [25])	5.58(0.05)	8.5(1.3)	-0.68(0.2)	0.25(0.1)	2.1(0.3)	-1.9(1.3)	8.3(3.2)
LMD+V (Knecht [26])	5.8(1.0)	8.3(1.2)					8.0(4.0)
Q-box (Pivovarov [32])					14.05		14.05
LENJL (Bartos [31])	8.18(1.65)	9.55(1.7)	1.23(0.24)				10.77(1.68)
(LMD+V)'(Melnikov [27])	7.65(1.0)	11.4(1.0)		2.2(0.5)		0(10)	13.6(0.25)
$N\chi QM$ (Dorokhov [36–38])	5.01(0.37)	5.85(0.87)	0.34(0.48)		11.0(0.9)		16.8(1.25)
oLMDV (Nyffeler [28])	7.2(1.2)	9.9(1.6)	-0.7(0.2)	2.2(0.5)	2.1(0.3)	-1.9(1.3)	11.6(0.4)
DS (Goecke [39])	5.75(0.69)	8.07(1.2)			10.7(0.2)		18.8(0.4)
$C\chi QM$ (Greynat [35])	6.8(0.3)	6.8(0.3)			8.2(0.6)		15.0(0.3)



Our results indicate that the LbL is underestimated And discrepancy may be less than 3 sigma

$$\Delta a_{\mu} \bullet 10^{+10} = a_{\mu}^{\exp} - a_{\mu}^{SM} = 27.8(8.0) \Longrightarrow 23$$



Precise measurment of muon g-2/EDM at JPARC





Summary

- 1) Study of Electron AMM provides very precise value for the QED coupling α
- 2) Study of Muon AMM is sensitive to effects of SM and NP
- **3)** At present there is 3.4σ disagreement between SM and BNL experiment. New experiments at FNAL and Jparc are promising
- 4) New experiments at VEPP2000, KLOE2, BESS III on cross section will further diminish the error for HVP contribution
- 5) The account of full kinematic dependence of meson-two-photon vertex reduces the value for the meson exchange LbL contribution
- 6) Dynamical quark box contribution make total result bigger than in previous estimates



Anomalous Magnetic Moment in SM and beyond



Basic of Standard Model

Results on PS meson exchange LbL contribution

AED, AE Radzhabov, AS Zhevlakov, EPJC (2011)

Model	π^0	η	η'	$\pi^0 + \eta + \eta'$
VMD [6]	5.74	1.34	1.19	8.27(0.64)
ENJL [11]	5.6			8.5(1.3)
LMD+V, VMD [7]	5.8(1.0)	1.3(0.1)	1.2(0.1)	8.3(1.2)
NJL [12]	8.18(1.65)	0.56(0.13)	0.80(0.17)	9.55(1.66)
(LMD+V)', VMD[8]	7.97	1.8	1.8	11.6(1.0)
$N\chi QM$ [13]	6.5(0.2)			
HM [16]	6.9	2.7	1.1	10.7
DIP, VMD [10]	6.54(0.25)			
DSE [15]	5.75(0.69)	1.36(0.30)	0.96(0.21)	8.07(1.20)
This work (N χ QM)	5.01(0.37)	0.54	0.30	5.85

Our results are systematically lower!

Why?

Because we use full kinematical Dependence of the photon-meson vertices!





