

# Charmonium production in heavy ion collisions.

#### N.S.Topilskaya and A.B.Kurepin INR RAS, Moscow

- 1. Physical motivaion.
- 2. Experimental situation.
- 3. Fixed target suggestion.
- 3. Summary.

N.S.Topilskaya, ISHEPP\_XXI, 10-15 September 2012.

#### **Charmonium**



colour screening in deconfined matter  $\rightarrow J/\psi$  suppression

- $\rightarrow$  possible signature of QGP formation Experimental and theoretical investigations
- $\rightarrow$  situation is more complicated cold nuclear matter (CNM)/initil states.
  - "normal" nuclear suppression
  - (anti)shadowing
  - saturation, color glass condensate suppression via comovers feed down from  $\chi_c, \psi'$ sequential screening (first :  $\chi_c$ ,  $\psi$ ',  $J/\psi$  only well above  $T_c$ )

regeneration via statistical hadronization or charm coalescence

 $J/\psi$  production from *b*-hadron

**Important for "large" charm yield, i.e. RHIC and LHC** 

#### $J/\psi$ suppression at SPS

**NA50** 



Suppression (~40%); ψ' suppression is measured σ<sub>abs</sub> depends on energy ; Suppression (~20-30%);

 $\sigma_{abs}^{J/\psi} (158 \text{ GeV}) = 7.6 \quad 0.7 \quad 0.6 \text{ mb} \\ \sigma_{abs}^{J/\psi} (400 \text{ GeV}) = 4.3 \quad 0.8 \quad 0.6 \text{ mb}$ 

**NA60** 

### J/ψ suppression at PHENIX, RHIC



## Comparison of SPS and RHIC data at mid rapidity

# $R_{AA}$ as a function of multiplicity (~ $\epsilon$ )



# Which dependence to choose?

#### $\mathbf{R}_{AA}$ as a function of $\mathbf{N}_{part}$



#### With last NA60 data

(σ<sub>abs</sub>depends on energy) suppression of charmonium production at PHENIX larger that at NA50

# **R**<sub>AA</sub> suppression vs N<sub>part</sub> at RHIC

#### **PHENIX Au-Au**



#### Theoretical models for Au-Au at y=0

Without regeneration

With regeneration

## $J/\psi$ production in heavy ions collisions

#### At LHC energy ?



★ Contributions of sequential suppression and regeneration define the J/Ψ yield

#### Possible bottomonium production at LHC

State	$J/\psi(1S)$	$\chi_c(1P)$	$\psi'(2S)$	$\Upsilon(1S)$	$\chi_b(1P)$	$\Upsilon(2S)$	$\chi_b(2P)$	$\Upsilon(3S)$
$T_d/T_c$	2.10	1.16	1.12	$\geq 4.0$	1.76	1.60	1.19	1.17
		- ~						

- \*  $\Upsilon(1S)$  melts only at LHC \*  $\Upsilon(2S)$  behaves as  $J/\psi$  ( $T^{D}_{\Upsilon(2S)} \sim T^{D}_{J/\psi}$ ) and expected that regeneration of
  - $\Upsilon(2S)$  would be small at LHC

 $\rightarrow$  Y(2S) measurements are very important for comparison regeneration and suppression of J/ $\psi$ 

#### **Charmonium production at LHC: ALICE, ATLAS, CMS and LHCb**.



#### Charmonium production in *pp*- collisions at LHC: ALICE, CMS, ATLAS and LHCb.



Good agreement of experimental data of ALICE, CMS and ATLAS for mid-rapidity

and ALICE and LHCb for forward-rapidity

**Dependence on rapidity** 

PL B704 (2011) 442

# J/ψ production in *pp*-collisions and dependence on energy and rapidity



arXiv:1203.3641

#### Mean transverse momentum of $J/\psi$ vs energy



# ALICE inclusive J/ $\psi$ mean <p<sub>T</sub> > and <p<sub>T</sub> 2> in comparison with SPS and RHIC data.



Behavior at ALICE is different from obtained at lower energies at SPS and RHIC where increase of the mean transverse momentum and the mean square transverse momentum was obtained.



Suppression for forward rapidity at ALICE lower than at PHENIX.

No significant centrality dependence.

Phys.Rev.Lett.109,072301(2012)

# **Comparison R**<sub>AA</sub> at ALICE and PHENIX as a function of multiplicity.



Phys.Rev.Lett.109,072301(2012)

#### ALICE inclusive R<sub>AA</sub> at forward and central rapidities.



# Large uncertainty on the mid-rapidity *pp*- reference. Different behaivior?

E.Scomparin, QM12

#### **R**<sub>AA</sub> vs number of participant for different rapidity regions. Comparison of ALICE and PHENIX data.



# Smaller suppression with respect to RHIC, compatible with $J/\psi$ regeneration model

#### **Comparison with the statistical hadronization model and transport models.**



Models with all J/ $\psi$  produced at hadronization or models including large fraction (>50% in central collisions) of J/ $\psi$  produced from recombinations can describe results.

# **R**<sub>AA</sub> vs rapidity and comparison of ALICE and CMS data



Cold nuclear effects in p-Pb collisions need to be evaluated

#### arXiv:1202.1383

#### ALICE inclusive $R_{AA}$ at low and high transverse momentum.



#### Suppression is higher for higher transverse momentum

#### **R**<sub>CP</sub> as a function of centrality. Comparison ALICE and ATLAS data.



than at ATLAS for y < 2.5 and  $p_T > 6.5$  GeV/c.

#### $R_{AA}\,$ data at $\,CMS$ .

## Suppression of Quarkonia (J/ $\psi$ and $\Upsilon$ )



- Muon acceptance: |η|<2.4, p<sub>T,µ</sub>>2-4 GeV/c
- Mass resolution ~1%, comparable to pp
- Displaced vertices to separate prompt J/ψ and B-decays





## **Summary**

2010 - 2011.

## At LHC in *p-p* and Pb-Pb collisions:

- •measured suppression of charmonium and bottomonium states production.
- the importance of regeneration process for charmonium production was shown, and feed-down contribution from B ~ 10%. 2012.
- Measuring of *p-p* collisions is going. Plan to measure *p*-Pb collisions.

Our suggestion to measure charmonium production at LHC with fixed target for lower energy with high statistic to clarify the mechanism of production.

## A.B.Kurepin, N.S.Topilskaya, M.B.Golubeva

Charmonium production in fixed-target experiments with SPS and LHC beams at CERN.

Phys.Atom.Nucl.74:446-452, 2011, Yad.Fiz.74:467-473, 2011.





#### **No** theoretical model that could reproduce all data.

Fixed target experiment at LHC for charmonium production at the energy range between SPS and RHIC in p-A and A-A collisions with planning proton beam at T=7 TeV ( $\sqrt{s} = 114.6$  GeV) and Pb beam at 2.75 TeV ( $\sqrt{s} = 71.8$  GeV) is possibility to clarify the mechanism of charmonium production, to separate two possibilities:

i): hard production and suppression in QGP and/or hadronic dissociation or

ii): hard production and secondary statistical productionwith recombination, since the probability of recombinationdecrease with decreasing energy of collision in thermalmodel.

As it was already used for the experiment on collider with a fixed target at HERA-B K.Ehret, Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 446 (2000) 190, the target in the form of thin ribbon could be placed around the main orbit of LHC. The life time of the beam is determined by the beam-beam and beam-gas interactions. Therefore after some time the particles will leave the main orbit and interact with the target ribbon. So for fixed target measurements only halo of the beam will be used. Therefore no deterioration of the main beam will be introduced. The experiments at different interaction points will not feel any presence of the fixed target.

Geometrical acceptances for  $J/\psi$  at ALICE



#### Pb-Pb, $\sqrt{s}=5.5$ TeV

 $J/\psi$  are generated using CEM y-spectra and CDF scaled  $p_T$ -spectra and including shadowing for Pb-Pb.



 $J/\psi$  are generated according R.Vogt 2002 approximation for  $p_T$  -spectra and y - distribution.



 $I_{acc} = 5.76\% - w/o p_T cut$ 4.26% - with cut  $p_T > 1 GeV/c$ 



 $I_{acc} = 4.71\% - w/o p_T cut$ 4.01% - with cut  $p_T > 1 GeV/c$ 

#### **EVALUATE:** Fixed target experiment Pb-Pb, T=2750 GeV, $\sqrt{s}$ =71.8 GeV. J/ $\psi$ are generated at z=0 and outside of ITS at z=+50 cm.



J/ $\psi$  are generated using  $p_T$ -spectra with HERA and PHENIX form, consistent with COM model, but parameters are energy scaled: dN/d $p_T$ ~ $p_T$ [1+(35 $\pi$ · $p_T$ /256·< $p_T$ >)<sup>2</sup>]<sup>-6</sup> with < $p_T$ >= 1.4, and using y-spectra as Gaussian with mean value  $y_{cm}$ =0 and  $\sigma$ =1.1

J/ $\psi$  are accepted in the rapidity range -2.5< $\eta$ <-4.0 (-2.98< $\eta$ <-4.14), and each of 2 muons in the degree range 171°< $\theta$ <178° (174.2°< $\theta$ <178.2°) for generation

 $J/\psi$  at z=0 (z=+50 cm).

z=0  $I_{acc} = 12.0\%$ z=+50 cm  $I_{acc} = 8.79\%$ 



#### Fixed target experiment pA, T=7000 GeV, √s=114.6 GeV. J/ψ are generated at z=0 and outside ITS at z=+50 cm.



J/ $\psi$  are generated using  $p_T$ -spectra with the same parametrization with energy scaled parameter:  $dN/dp_T \sim p_T [1+(35\pi \cdot p_T/256 \cdot \langle p_T \rangle)^2]^{-6}$  where  $\langle p_T \rangle = 1.6$ , and using y-spectra as Gaussian with mean value  $y_{cm} = 0$  and  $\sigma = 1.25$ .



z=0  $I_{acc} = 8.54\%$  z=+50 cm $I_{acc} = 5.98\%$ 



## System pPb<sub>fixed</sub>

pt cut	√s (TeV)	z = 0	z = +50 cm	z = -50 cm
no cut	0.1146	8.54	5.98	5.07
pt > 1 GeV/c	0.1146	6.77	4.89	4.11
no cut	0.0718	12.0	7.97	7.44
pt > 1 GeV/c	0.0718	9.79	6.62	6.20
η range		<b>-4.0</b> ↔ <b>-</b> 2.5	<b>-4.09</b> ↔ <b>-2.97</b>	<b>-3.76</b> ↔ <b>-2.5</b>

Luminocity, cross sections(x<sub>F</sub>>0) , counting rates



System	$\sqrt{s}$	$\sigma_{nn} \sigma_{l}$	$\sigma_{nn} \cdot A$	A <sup>0.92</sup> I	<b>Ι·Β·</b> σ <sub>ι</sub>	DA L	Rate
	(TeV)	(µb)	(µb)	(%)	(µb)	$(cm^{-2}s^{-1})$	(hour <sup>1</sup> )
рр	14	32.9	32.9	4.7	0.091	<b>5·10</b> <sup>30</sup>	1635
<b>pp<sub>RHIC</sub></b>	0.200	2.7	2.7	3.59	0.0057	<b>2·10</b> <sup>31</sup>	<b>410</b>
pPb <sub>fixed</sub>	0.1146	0.65	88.2	<b>5.98</b>	0.310	$1.10^{29(*)}$	112
pPb <sub>fixed</sub>	0.0718	0.55	74.6	7.97	0.349	<b>1·10<sup>29</sup></b>	126
pPb <sub>NA50</sub>	0.0274	0.19	25.8	<b>14.0</b>	0.212	<b>7</b> ·10 <sup>29</sup>	535
<b>PbPb</b> <sub>fixed</sub>	0.0718	0.55	11970	7.97	47.9	2.2·10 <sup>27</sup> (**	) 378

(\*)  $pPb_{fixed}$ , 500 µ wire,  $3.2 \cdot 10^{12}$  protons/60 min (\*\*)  $PbPb_{fixed}$ , 500 µ wire,  $6.8 \cdot 10^8$  ions/60 min



- The integrated geometrical acceptances for charmonium measurement by dimuon spectrometer of ALICE are 5.76% for √s=5.5 TeV Pb-Pb and 4.71% for √s=14 TeV pp collisions.
- 2. For fixed target charmonium measurement in 2.5<y<4 range the geometrical acceptances are of the same order and even larger: 7.97% for √s=71.8 GeV Pb-Pb and 5.98% for √s=114.6 GeV pA at z=+50 cm. The acceptances are compartible with the acceptances from other experiments.</p>
- **3.** The energy range for fixed target experiment between SPS and RHIC gives important additional information.

Later French group also suggested First letter of physics on arXiv (1202.6585)

### A Fixed Target ExperRiment

Generalities

- *pp* or *pA* with a 7 TeV *p* beam :  $\sqrt{s} \simeq 115$  GeV
- The beam may be extracted using "Strong crystalline field"

without any performance decrease of the LHC !

E. Uggerhøj, U.I Uggerhøj, NIM B 234 (2005) 31, Rev. Mod. Phys. 77 (2005) 1131

• Expected luminosities with  $5 \times 10^8 p/s$  extracted (1cm-long target)

Target	ρ <b>(g.cm</b> -3)	Α	£ (µb⁻¹.s⁻¹)	∫£ (pb-¹.yr-¹)
Sol. H <sub>2</sub>	0.09	1	26	260
Liq. H <sub>2</sub>	0.07	1	20	200
Liq. D <sub>2</sub>	0.16	2	24	240
Ве	1.85	9	62	620
Cu	8.96	64	42	420
w	19.1	185	31	310
Pb	11.35	207	16	160

• Using NA51-like 1.2m-long liquid  $H_2$  &  $D_2$  targets,  $\mathcal{L}_{H_2/D_2} \simeq 20 \text{ fb}^{-1} y^{-1}$ 

Planned lumi for PHENIX Run14pp 12 pb<sup>-1</sup> and Run14dAu 0.15 pb<sup>-1</sup>

### A Fixed Target ExperRiment

Generalities

- *Pbp* or *PbA* with a 2.75 TeV Pb beam :  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \simeq 72$  GeV
- Crystal channeling is also possible (to extract a fraction of the beam)
- May require crystals highly resistant to radiations: bent diamonds ?

P. Ballin et al., NIMB 267 (2009) 2952

• Expected luminosities with  $2 \times 10^5$  Pb/s extracted (1cm-long target)

Target	ρ (g.cm-³)	А	£ (mb <sup>-1</sup> .s <sup>-1</sup> )=∫£ (nb <sup>-1</sup> .yr <sup>-1</sup> )
Sol. H <sub>2</sub>	0.09	1	11
Liq. H <sub>2</sub>	0.07	1	8
Liq. D <sub>2</sub>	0.16	2	10
Ве	1.85	9	25
Cu	8.96	64	17
w	19.1	185	13
Pb	11.35	207	7

- Planned lumi for PHENIX Run15AuAu 2.8 nb<sup>-1</sup> (0.13 nb<sup>-1</sup> at 62 GeV)
- Nominal LHC lumi for PbPb 0.5 nb<sup>-1</sup>

DQG

イロト (個) (国) (国) (日)

#### Conclusion

- Both p and Pb LHC beams can be extracted without disturbing the other experiments
- Extracting a few per cent of the beam  $\rightarrow$  5  $\times$  10<sup>8</sup> protons per sec
- This allows for high luminosity *pp*, *pA* and *PbA* collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 115 \text{ GeV}$  and  $\sqrt{s}_{NN} = 72 \text{ GeV}$
- Example: precision quarkonium studies taking advantage of
  - high luminosity (reach in y, P<sub>T</sub>, small BR channels)
  - target versatility (CNM effects, strongly limited at colliders)
  - modern detection techniques (e.g.  $\gamma$  detection with high multiplicity)
- This would likely prepare the ground for  $g(x, Q^2)$  extraction
- A wealth of possible measurements: DY, Open b/c, jet correlation, UPC... (not mentioning secondary beams)
- Planned LHC long shutdown (< 2020 ?) could be used to install the extraction system
- Very good complementarity with electron-ion programs

DAG