Covariant quark confinement model Form factors for B (B_S) meson decays Light baryons and their electromagnetic interactions X(3872)-meson as a tetraqu

Multiquark states in the covariant quark confinement model

M. A. Ivanov (Dubna)

Baldin ISHEPP XXI-2012

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Covariant quark confinement model Form factors for B (B_S) meson decays Light baryons and their electromagnetic interactions X(3872)-meson as a tetraqu

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Contents

Covariant quark confinement model

Form factors for $B(B_s)$ meson decays

Light baryons and their electromagnetic interactions

X(3872)-meson as a tetraquark state

Summary and outlook

Relativistic Quark Model of Hadrons

G. V. Efimov, M. A. Ivanov, V. E. Lyubovitskij, J. G. Körner, P. Santorelli,...

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

- Main assumption: hadrons interact via quark exchange only
- Interaction Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{int} = \mathbf{g}_{\mathsf{H}} \cdot \mathbf{H}(\mathsf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{J}_{\mathsf{H}}(\mathsf{x})$$

Relativistic Quark Model of Hadrons

G. V. Efimov, M. A. Ivanov, V. E. Lyubovitskij, J. G. Körner, P. Santorelli,...

- Main assumption: hadrons interact via quark exchange only
- Interaction Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{int} = g_H \cdot H(x) \cdot J_H(x)$$

Quark currents

$$J_{M}(x) = \int dx_{1} \int dx_{2} F_{M}(x; x_{1}, x_{2}) \cdot \bar{q}_{f_{1}}^{a}(x_{1}) \Gamma_{M} q_{f_{2}}^{a}(x_{2})$$
 Meson

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{J}_{\mathsf{B}}(\mathsf{x}) &= \int \! d\mathsf{x}_1 \! \int \! d\mathsf{x}_2 \! \int \! d\mathsf{x}_3 \, \mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{B}}(\mathsf{x};\mathsf{x}_1,\mathsf{x}_2,\mathsf{x}_3) & \text{Baryon} \\ & \times \, \mathsf{\Gamma}_1 \, \mathsf{q}_{\mathsf{f}_1}^{\mathsf{a}_1}(\mathsf{x}_1) \left(\mathsf{q}_{\mathsf{f}_2}^{\mathsf{a}_2}(\mathsf{x}_2) \mathsf{C} \, \mathsf{\Gamma}_2 \, \mathsf{q}_{\mathsf{f}_3}^{\mathsf{a}_3}(\mathsf{x}_3) \right) \cdot \varepsilon^{\mathsf{a}_1 \mathsf{a}_2 \mathsf{a}_3} \end{aligned} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{J}_{\mathsf{T}}(\mathsf{x}) &= \int \mathsf{d}\mathsf{x}_1 \dots \int \mathsf{d}\mathsf{x}_4 \, \mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{T}}(\mathsf{x};\mathsf{x}_1,\dots,\mathsf{x}_4) & \text{Tetraquark} \\ &\times \left(\mathsf{q}_{\mathsf{f}_1}^{\mathsf{a}_1}(\mathsf{x}_1) \, \mathsf{C}\mathsf{\Gamma}_1 \, \mathsf{q}_{\mathsf{f}_2}^{\mathsf{a}_2}(\mathsf{x}_2)\right) \cdot \left(\bar{\mathsf{q}}_{\mathsf{f}_3}^{\mathsf{a}_3}(\mathsf{x}_3) \, \mathsf{\Gamma}_2\mathsf{C} \, \bar{\mathsf{q}}_{\mathsf{f}_4}^{\mathsf{a}_4}(\mathsf{x}_4)\right) \cdot \varepsilon^{\mathsf{a}_1 \mathsf{a}_2 \mathsf{c}} \varepsilon^{\mathsf{a}_3 \mathsf{a}_4 \mathsf{c}} \end{aligned}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Compositeness condition $Z_H = 0$

Salam 1962; Weinberg 1963

- A composite field and its constituents are introduced as elementary particles
- The transition of a composite field to its constituents is provided by the interaction Lagrangian
- The renormalization constant $Z^{1/2}$ is the matrix element between a physical state and the corresponding bare state. If there is a stable bound state which we wish to represent by introducing a quasi-particle H, then elementary particle must have renormalization factor Z equal to zero

$$Z_{H}^{1/2}~==~0$$

We use the compositeness condition to determine the hadron-quark coupling constant, e.g. in the case of mesons

$$Z_{M}=1-\tilde{\Pi}'(m_{M}^{2})=0$$

where $\tilde{\Pi}(p^2)$ is the meson mass operator.

The vertex functions and quark propagators

• The vertex functions

$$F_{B}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_{1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{n}) = \delta^{(4)} \left(\mathbf{x} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{w}_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i} \right) \Phi_{H} \left(\sum_{i < j} (\mathbf{x}_{i} - \mathbf{x}_{j})^{2} \right)$$

where $w_i = m_i / \sum_i m_i$.

• The quark propagators

$$S_q(x_1 - x_2) = \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4 i} \frac{e^{-ik(x_1 - x_2)}}{m_q - k}$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

The matrix elements

- The matrix elements are described by a set of the Feynman diagrams which are convolution of the quark propagators and vertex functions.
- Let n, ℓ and m be the number of the propagators, loops and vertices, respectively. In the momentum space the ℓ -loop diagram will be represented as

$$\begin{split} \Pi(p_1,...,p_m) &= \int \! \left[d^4 k \right]^\ell \prod_{i_1=1}^m \, \Phi_{i_1+n} \left(-K_{i_1+n}^2 \right) \prod_{i_3=1}^n \, S_{i_3}(\tilde{k}_{i_3}+v_{i_3}) \\ K_{i_1+n}^2 &= \sum_{i_2} (\tilde{k}_{i_1+n}^{(i_2)}+v_{i_1+n}^{(i_2)})^2 \end{split}$$

- ${f {\hat k}}_i$ are linear combinations of the loop momenta ${f k}_i$
- vi are linear combinations of the external momenta pi

Infrared confinement

• Use the Schwinger representation of the propagator:

$$\frac{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{k}}{\mathbf{m}^2-\mathbf{k}^2}=(\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{k})\int_{0}^{\infty}\mathbf{d}\alpha\,\exp[-\alpha(\mathbf{m}^2-\mathbf{k}^2)]$$

• Choose a simple Gaissian form for the vertex function

$$\Phi(-K^2) = \exp\left(K^2/\Lambda^2\right)$$

where the parameter Λ characterizes the hadron size.

• The general expression for the diagram

$$\Pi(\mathbf{p}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{p}_m) = \int_0^\infty d^n \alpha \int [d^4 k]^\ell \, \Phi \, \exp[-\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i (m_i^2 - (K_i + P_i)^2)]$$

where K_i is the linear combination of the loop momenta and P_i is the linear combination of the external momenta. Φ stands for the numerator product of propagators and vertex functions.

Infrared confinement

• After doing the loop integrations one obtains

$$\Pi = \int_{0}^{\infty} d^{n} \alpha \operatorname{F}(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}),$$

where F stands for the whole structure of a given diagram.

 The set of Schwinger parameters α_i can be turned into a simplex by introducing an additional t-integration via the identity

$$1 = \int_{0}^{\infty} dt \, \delta(t - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i)$$

leading to

$$\Pi = \int_{0}^{\infty} dt t^{n-1} \int_{0}^{1} d^{n} \alpha \, \delta \Big(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \Big) \, F(t\alpha_{1}, \dots, t\alpha_{n}) \, .$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Covariant quark confinement model Form factors for B (Bs) meson decays Light baryons and their electromagnetic interactions X(3872)-meson as a tetraque

Infrared confinement

T. Branz, A. Faessler, T. Gutsche, M. A. Ivanov, J. G. Körner and V. E. Lyubovitskij, Phys. Rev. D81, 034010 (2010)

• We cut off the upper integration at $1/\lambda^2$ and obtain

$$\Pi^{c} = \int\limits_{0}^{1/\lambda^{2}} dt t^{n-1} \int\limits_{0}^{1} d^{n} \alpha \, \delta \Big(1 - \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \Big) \, F(t\alpha_{1}, \dots, t\alpha_{n})$$

- By introducing the infrared cut-off one has removed all possible thresholds in the quark loop diagram.
- We take the cut-off parameter λ to be the same in all physical processes.

Infrared confinement

• We consider the case of a scalar one-loop two-point function:

$$\Pi_2(p^2) = \int \frac{d^4k_E}{\pi^2} \frac{e^{-s\,k_E^2}}{[m^2 + (k_E + \frac{1}{2}p_E)^2][m^2 + (k_E - \frac{1}{2}p_E)^2]}$$

where the numerator factor $e^{-s\,k_E^2}$ comes from the product of nonlocal vertex form factors of Gaussian form. $k_E,\,p_E$ are Euclidean momenta $(p_E^2=-p^2$).

• Doing the loop integration one obtains

$$\Pi_2(\mathbf{p}^2) = \int_0^\infty dt \frac{t}{(s+t)^2} \int_0^1 d\alpha \exp\left\{-t\left[\mathbf{m}^2 - \alpha(1-\alpha)\mathbf{p}^2\right] + \frac{st}{s+t}\left(\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \mathbf{p}^2\right\}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

A branch point at $p^2 = 4m^2$

Infrared confinement

• By introducing a cut-off in the *t*-integration one obtains

$$\Pi_2^c(\mathbf{p}^2) = \int_0^{1/\lambda^2} dt \frac{t}{(s+t)^2} \int_0^1 d\alpha \exp\left\{-t\left[\mathbf{m}^2 - \alpha(1-\alpha)\mathbf{p}^2\right] + \frac{st}{s+t} \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \mathbf{p}^2\right\}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

where the one–loop two–point function $\Pi_2^c(p^2)$ no longer has a branch point at $p^2 = 4m^2$.

- Such a confinement scenario can be realized with only minor changes in our approach by shifting the upper t-integration limit from infinity to $1/\lambda^2$.
- The confinement scenario also allows to include all possible both two-quark and multi-quark resonance states in our calculations.

Subtleties: gauging

In order to guarantee local invariance of the strong interaction Lagrangian one multiplies each quark field $q(x_i)$ in nonlocal quark current $J_H(x)$ with a gauge field exponential:

$$q_i(x_i) \rightarrow e^{-ie_{q_1}I(x_i,x,P)} \, q_i(x_i) \quad \text{where} \quad I(x_i,x,P) = \int\limits_x^{x_i} dz_\mu A^\mu(z).$$

The path P connects the end-points of the path integral. We use the path-independent definition of the derivative of I(x, y, P):

Mandelstam, 1962, Terning, 1991

$$\lim_{dx^{\mu}\to 0} dx^{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} I(x, y, P) = \lim_{dx^{\mu}\to 0} [I(x + dx, y, P') - I(x, y, P)]$$

where the path P' is obtained from P by shifting the end-point x by dx. The definition leads to the key rule

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathsf{x}^{\mu}}\mathsf{I}(\mathsf{x},\mathsf{y},\mathsf{P})=\mathsf{A}_{\mu}(\mathsf{x})$$

which in turn states that the derivative of the path integral I(x, y, P)does not depend on the path P originally used in the definition.

Subtleties: gauging

Diagrams describing $V \rightarrow \gamma$ transition:



$$\mathsf{M}^{\mu\nu}_{\mathsf{c}}(\mathsf{p}) = \int \frac{\mathsf{d}^4\mathsf{k}}{4\pi^2\mathsf{i}} \Phi_{\mathsf{V}}(-\mathsf{k}^2) \operatorname{tr}\left(\gamma^{\mu}\mathsf{S}(\mathsf{k}+\tfrac{1}{2}\,\mathsf{p})\gamma^{\nu}\mathsf{S}(\mathsf{k}-\tfrac{1}{2}\,\mathsf{p})\right)$$

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{M}_{\mathsf{d}}^{\mu\nu}(\mathsf{p}) &= -\int \frac{\mathsf{d}^{4}\mathsf{k}}{4\pi^{2}\mathsf{i}} \left(2\mathsf{k} + \frac{1}{2}\,\mathsf{p}\right)^{\mu} \int_{0}^{1} \mathsf{d}\alpha \Phi_{\mathsf{V}}^{\prime} \Big(-\alpha(\mathsf{k} + \frac{1}{2}\mathsf{p})^{2} - (1-\alpha)\mathsf{k}^{2} \Big) \\ &\times \operatorname{tr} \Big(\gamma^{\nu}\mathsf{S}(\mathsf{k}) \Big) \end{split}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Subtleties: gauging

If $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0}$ then the second diagram maybe transfered to the first one by using integration by parts

$$\begin{split} &\int \frac{d^4 k}{4\pi^2 \mathbf{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial k^{\mu}} \Big\{ \Phi_V \Big(- \mathbf{k}^2 \Big) \mathrm{tr} \Big(\gamma^{\nu} \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{k}) \Big) \Big\} = \\ &= \int \frac{d^4 k}{4\pi^2 \mathbf{i}} \Big\{ - 2\mathbf{k}^{\mu} \Phi_V' \Big(- \mathbf{k}^2 \Big) \mathrm{tr} \Big(\gamma^{\nu} \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{k}) \Big) \\ &\quad + \Phi_V \Big(- \mathbf{k}^2 \Big) \mathrm{tr} \Big(\gamma^{\mu} \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{k}) \gamma^{\nu} \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{k}) \Big) \Big\} = \mathbf{0}. \end{split}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Model parameters

M. A. I., J. G. Körner, S. G. Kovalenko, P. Santorelli and G. G. Saidullaeva, Phys. Rev. D85, 034004 (2012)

Input values for the leptonic decay constants $f_{\rm H}$ (in MeV) and our least-squares fit values.

	Fit Values	PDG/LAT		This work	PDG/LAT
f_{π}	128.7	130.4 \pm 0.2	\mathbf{f}_{ω}	198.5	198 \pm 2
fκ	156.1	156.1 ± 0.8	$\mathbf{f}_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$	228.2	$227~\pm~2$
f _D	205.9	206.7 ± 8.9	$f_{J/\psi}$	415.0	415 ± 7
$\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{s}}}$	257.5	$257.5~\pm~6.1$	f _K ∗	213.7	217 ± 7
f _B	191.1	192.8 \pm 9.9	f _D ∗	243.3	245 ± 20
$\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{s}}}$	234.9	$\textbf{238.8} \pm \textbf{9.5}$	f _{Ds} *	272.0	272 ± 26
$\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{c}}}$	489.0	489 ± 5	f _{B*}	196.0	196 ± 44
$\mathbf{f}_{ ho}$	221.1	221 ± 1	$\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{s}}^{*}}$	229.0	229 ± 46

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モ ・ モ ・ ・ モ ・ クタマ

Model parameters

Input values for some basic electromagnetic decay widths and our least-squares fit values (in keV).

Process	Fit Values	PDG
$\pi^0 o \gamma\gamma$	$5.06 imes10^{-3}$	(7.7 \pm 0.4) $ imes$ 10 $^{-3}$
$\eta_{c} o \gamma\gamma$	1.61	1.8 ± 0.8
$ ho^\pm o \pi^\pm \gamma$	76.0	67 ± 7
$\omega ightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$	672	703 ± 25
${\sf K}^{*\pm} o {\sf K}^{\pm} \gamma$	55.1	50 ± 5
${\sf K^{*0}} o {\sf K^0} \gamma$	116	116 ± 10
$D^{*\pm} o D^{\pm} \gamma$	1.22	1.5 ± 0.5
${ m J}\!/\!\psi o \eta_{ m c} \gamma$	1.43	$\textbf{1.58} \pm \textbf{0.37}$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ★ □▶ = □ の < ○

Model parameters

The results of the fit for the values of quark masses m_{q_i} , the infrared cutoff parameter λ and the size parameters Λ_{H_i} (all in GeV).

_	mu	ms	m _c	m _b	λ			
	0.235	0.424	2.16	5.09	0.181	${f GeV}$		
Λπ	Λκ	ΛD	Λ_{D_s}	۸ _B	∧ Bs	∧ Bc	$\Lambda_{ ho}$	
0.87	1.04	1.47	1.57	1.88	1.95	2.42	0.61	
Λω	Λ_{ϕ}	Λ _{J/ψ}	Λ κ*	۸ _D *	∧ _{Ds} *	۸ _{B*}	۸ _{Bs} *	
0.47	0.88	1.48	0.72	1.16	1.17	1.72	1.71	

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Covariant quark confinement model Form factors for B (Bs) meson decays Light baryons and their electromagnetic interactions X(3872)-meson as a tetraque

Form factors



$$r_i = rac{m_{q_3}}{m_{q_i}+m_{q_3}}p_i$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

Form factors



୬ବ୍ଦ

Form factors



Covariant quark confinement model Form factors for B (Bs) meson decays Light baryons and their electromagnetic interactions X(3872)-meson as a tetraqui

Form factors



$$\mathsf{F}^{\mathrm{B}\pi}_{\mathrm{VDM}}(\mathsf{q}^2) = rac{\mathsf{F}^{\mathrm{B}\pi}_+(0)}{\mathsf{m}^2_{\mathrm{B}^*}-\mathsf{q}^2}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─の�?

Form factors

	This work	LCSR
$F_{+}^{B\pi}(0)$	0.29	0.258±0.031
F ^{BK} (0)	0.42	$0.335 {\pm} 0.042$
$F_{T}^{B\pi}(0)$	0.27	$0.253 {\pm} 0.028$
F ^{BK} _T (0)	0.40	$0.359 {\pm} 0.038$
V^{Bρ}(0)	0.28	$0.324 {\pm} 0.029$
V ^{BK*} (0)	0.36	$0.412 {\pm} 0.045$
$V^{B_s\phi}(0)$	0.32	$0.434 {\pm} 0.035$
$A_1^{B ho}(0)$	0.26	0.240±0.024
$A_1^{BK^*}(0)$	0.33	0.290±0.036
$A_1^{B_s\phi}(0)$	0.29	$0.311 {\pm} 0.029$
$A_{2}^{B\rho}(0)$	0.24	0.221±0.023
$A_{2}^{BK^{*}}(0)$	0.32	0.258±0.035
$A_{2}^{B_{s}\phi}(0)$	0.28	0.234±0.028
$T_1^{B ho}(0)$	0.25	$0.268 {\pm} 0.021$
T ₁ ^{BK*} (0)	0.33	$0.332 {\pm} 0.037$
$T_1^{B_{s}\phi}(0)$	0.28	$0.349 {\pm} 0.033$

æ

Nonleptonic B_s decays

• The modes

$$B_s \rightarrow D_s^- D_s^+, D_s^{*\,-} D_s^+ + D_s^- D_s^{*\,+}, D_s^{*\,-} D_s^{*\,+}$$

give the largest contribution to $\Delta\Gamma_s\equiv\Gamma_L-\Gamma_H$ for the $B_s-\bar{B}_s$ system.

- The mode $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$ is color–suppressed but it is interesting for the search of possible CP-violating new physics effects in $B_s \bar{B}_s$ mixing.
- The effective Hamiltonian describing the B_s nonleptonic decays:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rm eff} \ = \ - \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \, V_{cb} V_{cs}^\dagger \, \sum_{i=1}^6 C_i \, Q_i, \label{eq:Heff}$$

where the subscript $_{V-A}$ refers to the usual left-chiral current $O^{\mu}_{-} = \gamma^{\mu}(1 - \gamma^{5})$ and $_{V+A}$ to the usual right-chiral one $O^{\mu}_{+} = \gamma^{\mu}(1 + \gamma^{5})$.

Nonleptonic B_s decays

Calculated branching ratios (%) of the B_s nonleptonic decays.

Process	This work	PDG
$\rm B_{s} \rightarrow \rm D_{s}^{-}\rm D_{s}^{+}$	1.65	$1.04^{+0.29}_{-0.26}$
$B_s \rightarrow D_s^- D_s^{*+} + D_s^{*-} D_s^+$	2.40	$\textbf{2.8} \pm \textbf{1.0}$
$B_s \rightarrow D_s^{*-} D_s^{*+}$	3.18	$\textbf{3.1} \pm \textbf{1.4}$
${\sf B}_{\sf s} o {\sf J}/\psi \phi$	0.16	$\textbf{0.14} \pm \textbf{0.05}$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

Nucleon as three-quark state: Lagrangian

T. Gutsche, M. A. I., J. G. Körner, V. E. Lyubovitskij, P. Santorelli, arXiv:1207.7052 (to appear in PRD)

Lagrangian describing the interaction of proton (antiproton) with its constituents:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm int}^{\rm p}(x) = g_N \, \bar{p}(x) \cdot J_p(x) + \text{h.c.}$$

The interpolating three-quark current:

$$\begin{split} J_{p}(x) &= \int\!\!dx_{1}\!\!\int\!\!dx_{2}\!\!\int\!\!dx_{3}\,F_{N}(x;x_{1},x_{2},x_{3})\,J_{3q}^{(p)}(x_{1},x_{2},x_{3})\\ J_{3q}^{(p)}(x_{1},x_{2},x_{3}) &= \Gamma^{A}\gamma^{5}\,d^{a_{1}}(x_{1})\cdot\left[\epsilon^{a_{1}a_{2}a_{3}}\,u^{a_{2}}(x_{2})\,C\,\Gamma_{A}\,u^{a_{3}}(x_{3})\right]. \end{split}$$

There are two kinds of three-quark currents:

$$\Gamma^{\mathsf{A}}\otimes \Gamma_{\mathsf{A}}=\gamma^{lpha}\otimes \gamma_{lpha} \quad (ext{vector}) \quad \Gamma^{\mathsf{A}}\otimes \Gamma_{\mathsf{A}}=rac{1}{2}\,\sigma^{lphaeta}\otimes \sigma_{lphaeta} \quad (ext{tensor})$$

We consider a general linear superposition:

$$J_N = x J_N^T + (1-x) J_N^V \,, \quad N = p, n$$

with a mixing parameter x ($0 \le x \le 1$).

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆ = → ◆ = → のへで

Electromagnetic vertex function of proton



Static properties of nucleons

Parameters:

- a superposition of the V- and T-currents of nucleons with x = 0.8
- the size parameter of the nucleon we take $\Lambda_N=0.5~\text{GeV}.$

Quantity	Our results	PDG
$\mu_{ extsf{p}}$ (in n.m.)	2.96	2.793
$\mu_{ m n}$ (in n.m.)	-1.83	-1.913
r ^p _E (fm)	0.805	0.8768 ± 0.0069
$< r_{E}^{2} >^{n} (fm^{2})$	-0.121	-0.1161 ± 0.0022
r ^p _M (fm)	0.688	$0.777 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.010$
r _M (fm)	0.685	$0.862\substack{+0.009\\-0.008}$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

Covariant quark confinement model Form factors for B (Bs) meson decays Light baryons and their electromagnetic interactions X(3872)-meson as a tetraqu

Electromagnetic form factors



A narrow charmonium-like state X(3872) was observed in the exclusive decay process:

$$\mathsf{B^+} o \mathsf{K^+} \pi^+ \pi^- \mathsf{J}/\!\psi$$

S. K. Choi et al. [Belle Collaboration] Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 262001 (2003)



• X-mass is close to D⁰ – D^{* 0} mass threshold:

 $M_X = 3872.0 \pm 0.6 \,(\text{stat}) \pm 0.5 \,(\text{syst}) \,\text{MeV}$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

 $M_{D^0} + M_{D^{*0}} = 3871.81 \pm 0.25 \, MeV$

• Its width $\Gamma_X \leq 2.3$ MeV at 90% CL.

• X-mass is close to D⁰ – D^{* 0} mass threshold:

 $M_X = 3872.0 \pm 0.6 \,(\text{stat}) \pm 0.5 \,(\text{syst}) \,\text{MeV}$

 $M_{D^0} + M_{D^{*0}} = 3871.81 \pm 0.25 \,\mathrm{MeV}$

- Its width $\Gamma_X \leq 2.3$ MeV at 90% CL.
- The state was confirmed in B-decays by BaBar experiment

B. Aubert et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 041801 (2004)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

and in $p\overline{p}$ production by Tevatron experiments CDF and DØ.

D. E. Acosta et al. [CDF Collaboration] Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 072001 (2004);

V. M. Abazov et al. [D0 Collaboration] Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 162002 (2004)



K. Yi [CDF Collaboration] arXiv: 0906.4996.

э.

New average mass: $M_X = 3871.51 \pm 0.22 \text{ MeV}$

• From the observation of decays $X(3872) \rightarrow J/\psi\gamma$ reported by both Belle and BaBar collaborations and from the angular analysis performed by CDF experiment it was shown that the only quantum numbers $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$ or 2^{-+} are compatible with data.

K. Abe et al., [Belle Collaboration], arXiv:hep-ex/0505037; hep-ex/0505038
 B. Aubert et al. [BaBar Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D 74, 071101 (2006)

A. Abulencia et al. [CDF Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 132002 (2007)

• The observation of decays into $D^0\overline{D}^0\pi^0$ by Belle and BaBar collaborations allows one to exclude the choice 2^{-+} because the near-threshold decay $X \to D^0\overline{D}^0\pi^0$ is expected to be strongly suppressed for J = 2.

G. Gokhroo et al. [Belle Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 162002 (2006)

B. Aubert et al. [BaBar Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D 77, 011102 (2008).

• The quantum numbers of the X(3872) are

$$J^{PC} = 1^{++}$$

Covariant quark confinement model Form factors for B (Bs) meson decays Light baryons and their electromagnetic interactions X(3872)-meson as a tetraque

X(3872)-meson as a tetraquark state: Introduction

• Belle collaboration has reported evidence for the decay mode $X \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 J/\psi$ dominated by the sub-threshold decay $X \rightarrow \omega J/\psi$.

K. Abe et al., [Belle Collaboration], arXiv:hep-ex/0505037,hep-ex/0505038

• It was found that the branching ratio of this mode is almost the same as of $X \to \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$ decay:

$$rac{\mathcal{B}(X \to J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)}{\mathcal{B}(X \to J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-)} = 1.0 \pm 0.4 \, ({
m stat}) \pm 0.3 \, ({
m syst}).$$

• It implies strong isospin violation because the three-pion decay proceeds via intermediate ω -meson with isospin 0 whereas the two-pion decay proceeds via intermediate ρ -meson with isospin 1.

- The two-pion decay via intermediate ρ-meson is very difficult to explain by using an interpretation of the X(3872) as simple cc̄ charmonium state with isospin 0.
- The possible candidate from **c**-spectroscopy:

 $\chi_{c_1}(2^3P_1) - \text{state with } J^{PC} = 1^{++}$

BUT the value of its mass varies from 3925 up to 3953 MeV. Also the decay width calculated in variuos models is too large.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• The X(3872) IS NOT the pure cc-state

- The two-pion decay via intermediate ρ-meson is very difficult to explain by using an interpretation of the X(3872) as simple cc̄ charmonium state with isospin 0.
- The possible candidate from cc-spectroscopy:

 $\chi_{c_1}(2^3P_1) - \text{state with } J^{PC} = 1^{++}$

BUT the value of its mass varies from 3925 up to 3953 MeV. Also the decay width calculated in variuos models is too large.

- The X(3872) IS NOT the pure cc-state
- a molecule bound state $D^0 \overline{D}^{*0}$ with small binding energy
- a tetraquark state composed from a diquark and antidiquark
- threshold cusps
- hybrids and glueballs

An intepretation of the X(3872) as a tetraquark was suggested in

L. Maiani, F. Piccinini, A. D. Polosa and V. Riquer, Phys. Rev. D 71, 014028 (2005)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

$$X_{q} \Longrightarrow [cq]_{S=1}[\bar{c}\bar{q}]_{S=0} + [cq]_{S=0}[\bar{c}\bar{q}]_{S=1}, \qquad (q = u, d)$$

Isospin breaking: the state X_u breaks isospin symmetry maximally:

$$\mathsf{X}_{\mathsf{u}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Big\{ \underbrace{\frac{\mathsf{X}_{\mathsf{u}} + \mathsf{X}_{\mathsf{d}}}{\sqrt{2}}}_{\mathsf{l}=0} + \underbrace{\frac{\mathsf{X}_{\mathsf{u}} - \mathsf{X}_{\mathsf{d}}}{\sqrt{2}}}_{\mathsf{l}=1} \Big\}.$$

• The physical states are the mixing of X_u and X_d

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{l}} &\equiv \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{low}} &= \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{u}}\,\cos\theta + \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{d}}\,\sin\theta, \\ \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{h}} &\equiv \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{high}} &= -\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{u}}\,\sin\theta + \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{d}}\,\cos\theta. \end{split}$$

• The mixing angle θ is supposed to be found from the known ratio of the two-pion (via ρ) and three-pion (via ω) decay widths.

• The physical states are the mixing of X_u and X_d

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{X}_{l} &\equiv \mathbf{X}_{low} &= \mathbf{X}_{u} \, \cos \theta + \mathbf{X}_{d} \, \sin \theta, \\ \mathbf{X}_{h} &\equiv \mathbf{X}_{high} &= -\mathbf{X}_{u} \, \sin \theta + \mathbf{X}_{d} \, \cos \theta. \end{split}$$

- The mixing angle θ is supposed to be found from the known ratio of the two-pion (via ρ) and three-pion (via ω) decay widths.
- We are aiming to perform independent analysis of the X(3872)-meson considered as a tetraquark state in the framework of the relativistic constituent quark model with infrared confinement.

X(3872)-meson as a tetraquark state: Lagrangian

S. Dubnicka, A. Z. Dubnickova, M. A. Ivanov and J. G. Körner, Phys. Rev. D 81, 114007 (2010)

An effective interaction Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{int}} = g_{X} X_{q \mu}(x) \cdot J^{\mu}_{X_{q}}(x), \qquad (q = u, d).$$

The nonlocal version of the four-quark interpolating current

$$\begin{split} J^{\mu}_{X_q}(x) &= \int \! dx_1 \dots \int \! dx_4 \, \delta(x - \sum_{i=1}^4 \! w_i x_i) \, \Phi_X \Big(\sum_{i < j} \! (x_i - x_j)^2 \Big) \, J^{\mu}_{4q}(x_1, \dots, x_4) \\ J^{\mu}_{4q} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \, \varepsilon_{abc} \left[q_a(x_4) C \gamma^5 c_b(x_1) \right] \varepsilon_{dec} \left[\bar{q}_d(x_3) \gamma^{\mu} C \bar{c}_e(x_2) \right] + (\gamma^5 \leftrightarrow \gamma^{\mu}), \\ w_1 &= w_2 = \frac{m_c}{2(m_q + m_c)} \equiv \frac{w_c}{2}, \qquad w_3 = w_4 = \frac{m_q}{2(m_q + m_c)} \equiv \frac{w_q}{2}. \end{split}$$

Compositeness condition

The coupling constant g_X is determined from the compositeness condition

$$\mathsf{Z}_\mathsf{X} = 1 - \mathsf{\Pi}'_\mathsf{X}(\mathsf{M}^2_\mathsf{X}) = 0$$

where $\Pi_X(p^2)$ is the scalar part of the vector-meson mass operator.



Covariant quark confinement model Form factors for B (B_S) meson decays Light baryons and their electromagnetic interactions X(3872)-meson as a tetraqu

Strong off-shell decays



Since the X(3872) lies nearly the respective thresholds in both cases,

 $\begin{array}{lll} m_X - (m_{J/\psi} + m_\rho) &=& -0.90 \pm 0.41 \, {\rm MeV}, \\ m_X - (m_{D^0} + m_{D^{*\,0}}) &=& -0.30 \pm 0.34 \, {\rm MeV} \end{array}$

the intermediate $\rho(\omega)$ and D^* mesons should be taken off-shell.

Covariant quark confinement model Form factors for B (Bs) meson decays Light baryons and their electromagnetic interactions X(3872)-meson as a tetraqu

The narrow width approximation

$$\begin{split} \frac{d\Gamma(X \to J/\psi + n\pi)}{dq^2} &= \frac{1}{8\,m_X^2\,\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{3} |\mathsf{M}(X \to J/\psi + \mathsf{v}^0)|^2 \\ &\times \frac{\Gamma_{\mathsf{v}^0}\,m_{\mathsf{v}^0}}{\pi} \frac{\mathsf{p}^*(\mathsf{q}^2)}{(m_{\mathsf{v}^0}^{-}-\mathsf{q}^2)^2 + \Gamma_{\mathsf{v}^0}^2\,m_{\mathsf{v}^0}^2} \mathrm{Br}(\mathsf{v}^0 \to n\pi), \\ \\ \frac{d\Gamma(X_u \to \bar{\mathsf{D}}^0\mathsf{D}^0\pi^0)}{\pi} &= \frac{1}{1-1} \cdot \frac{1}{2} |\mathsf{M}(X_u \to \bar{\mathsf{D}}^0\mathsf{D}^{*\,0})|^2 \end{split}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dI(X_{u} \rightarrow D^{*}D^{*}\pi^{*})}{dq^{2}} &= \frac{1}{2m_{X}^{2}\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{3} |\mathsf{M}(X_{u} \rightarrow \bar{\mathsf{D}}^{0}\mathsf{D}^{*0})|^{2} \\ &\times \frac{\Gamma_{\mathsf{D}^{*0}} m_{\mathsf{D}^{*0}}}{\pi} \frac{\mathsf{p}^{*}(\mathsf{q}^{2}) \mathcal{B}(\mathsf{D}^{*0} \rightarrow \mathsf{D}^{0}\pi^{0})}{(m_{\mathsf{D}^{*0}}^{2} - \mathsf{q}^{2})^{2} + \Gamma_{\mathsf{D}^{*0}}^{2} m_{\mathsf{D}^{*0}}^{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Strong decay widths

- Two new adjustable parameters: θ and Λ_X .
- The ratio

$$\frac{\Gamma(\mathsf{X}_{\mathrm{u}}\rightarrow\,\mathsf{J}/\psi+3\,\pi)}{\Gamma(\mathsf{X}_{\mathrm{u}}\rightarrow\,\mathsf{J}/\psi+2\,\pi)}\approx0.25$$

is very stable under variation of Λ_X .

• Using this result and the central value of the experimental data

$$\frac{\Gamma(\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{l},\mathrm{h}} \to \mathbf{J}/\psi + 3\,\pi)}{\Gamma(\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{l},\mathrm{h}} \to \mathbf{J}/\psi + 2\,\pi)} \approx 0.25 \cdot \left(\frac{1\pm\tan\theta}{1\mp\tan\theta}\right)^2 \approx 1$$

gives $\theta \approx \pm 18.4^{\circ}$ for X_I (" + ") and X_h (" - "), respectively.

• This is in agreement with the results obtained by both Maiani: $\theta \approx \pm 20^{\circ}$ and Nielsen: $\theta \approx \pm 23.5^{\circ}$.

Strong decay widths



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回 のへで

Covariant quark confinement model Form factors for B (Bs) meson decays Light baryons and their electromagnetic interactions X(3872)-meson as a tetraque

Impact of the X on the J/ψ -dissociation





Impact of the X on the J/ψ -dissociation



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > □ = のへで

Impact of the X on the J/ψ -dissociation



$$\begin{split} \sigma(\mathsf{J}/\psi + \mathsf{v}^0 \to \mathsf{D} + \bar{\mathsf{D}}^*) + \sigma(\mathsf{J}/\psi + \mathsf{v}^0 \to \bar{\mathsf{D}} + \mathsf{D}^*) \\ = & 2\left(\cos\theta \mp \sin\theta\right)\sigma(\mathsf{J}/\psi + \mathsf{v}^0 \to \mathsf{X}_{\mathsf{u}} \to \bar{\mathsf{D}} + \mathsf{D}^*), \end{split}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

 $v^0 = \rho$ (sign minus); $v^0 = \omega$ (sign plus).

Impact of the X on the J/ψ -dissociation

$$\sigma(J\!/\!\psi + v^0
ightarrow X_u
ightarrow ar{D} + D^*)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{16\,\pi\,s}\frac{\lambda^{1/2}(s,m_D^2,m_{D^*}^2)}{\lambda^{1/2}(s,m_{J/\psi}^2,m_{v^0}^2)}\frac{1}{9}\sum_{\rm pol}|\mathsf{A}|^2\frac{1}{(s-m_X^2)^2+\Gamma_X^2m_X^2}$$

$$\mathsf{A} = \varepsilon^{\nu}_{\mathsf{J}\!/\!\psi} \varepsilon^{\rho}_{\mathsf{v}^0} \, \mathsf{M}_{\mu\nu\rho} (\mathsf{J}\!/\!\psi + \mathsf{v}^0 \to \mathsf{X})$$

$$\times \quad \left(-\mathbf{g}^{\mu\alpha} + \frac{\mathbf{p}^{\mu}\mathbf{p}^{\alpha}}{\mathbf{m}_{\mathsf{X}}^{2}} \right)$$
$$\times \quad \varepsilon^{\beta}_{\mathsf{D}^{*}}\mathsf{M}_{\alpha\beta}(\mathsf{X} \to \bar{\mathsf{D}} + \mathsf{D}^{*})$$

Note that $s \ge (m_{D^+} + m_{D^{*+}})^2$ for charged D-mesons and $s > (m_{J/\psi} + m_{v^0})^2$ for neutral D-mesons. In the last case the cross section blows up at $s = (m_{J/\psi} + m_{v^0})^2$ because of the function $\lambda^{1/2}(s, m_{J/\psi}^2, m_{v^0}^2)$ is in the denominator.

Sac

Covariant quark confinement model Form factors for B (Bs) meson decays Light baryons and their electromagnetic interactions X(3872)-meson as a tetraque

Impact of the X on the J/ψ -dissociation

Charged D-mesons



Covariant quark confinement model Form factors for B (Bs) meson decays Light baryons and their electromagnetic interactions X(3872)-meson as a tetraque

Impact of the X on the J/ψ -dissociation



Radiative X-decay

S. Dubnicka, A. Z. Dubnickova, M. A. Ivanov, J. G. Koerner, P. Santorelli and G. G. Saidullaeva, Phys. Rev. D

84, 014006 (2011)



Radiative X-decay

The on-mass shell conditions

$$arepsilon_{\mathsf{X}}^{\mu}\mathsf{p}_{\mu}=\mathbf{0},\qquad arepsilon_{\mathsf{J}\!/\!\psi}^{
u}\mathsf{q}_{1
u}=\mathbf{0},\qquad arepsilon_{\gamma}^{
ho}\mathsf{q}_{2
ho}=\mathbf{0}$$

leave us five Lorentz structures:

$$\mathsf{T}_{\mu\rho\nu}(\mathsf{q}_1,\mathsf{q}_2) = \varepsilon_{\mathsf{q}_2\mu\nu\rho}(\mathsf{q}_1\cdot\mathsf{q}_2)\,\mathsf{W}_1 + \varepsilon_{\mathsf{q}_1\mathsf{q}_2\nu\rho}\mathsf{q}_{1\mu}\,\mathsf{W}_2 + \varepsilon_{\mathsf{q}_1\mathsf{q}_2\mu\rho}\mathsf{q}_{2\nu}\,\mathsf{W}_3$$

+
$$\varepsilon_{\mathfrak{q}_1\mathfrak{q}_2\mu\nu}\mathfrak{q}_{1
ho}\,\mathsf{W}_4 + \varepsilon_{\mathfrak{q}_1\mu\nu
ho}(\mathfrak{q}_1\cdot\mathfrak{q}_2)\,\mathsf{W}_5\,.$$

Using the gauge invariance condition

$$\mathbf{q}_2^{\rho} \mathsf{T}_{\mu \rho \nu} = (\mathsf{q}_1 \cdot \mathsf{q}_2) \varepsilon_{\mathsf{q}_1 \mathsf{q}_2 \mu \nu} (\mathsf{W}_4 + \mathsf{W}_5) = \mathbf{0}$$

one has $W_4 = -W_5$ which reduces the set of independent covariants to four. However, there are two nontrivial relations among the four covariants which can be derived by noting that the tensor

$$\mathsf{T}_{\mu[\nu_{1}\nu_{2}\nu_{3}\nu_{4}\nu_{5}]} = \mathsf{g}_{\mu\nu_{1}}\varepsilon_{\nu_{2}\nu_{3}\nu_{4}\nu_{5}} + \operatorname{cycl.}(\nu_{1}\nu_{2}\nu_{3}\nu_{4}\nu_{5})$$

vanishes in four dimensions since it is totally antisymmetric in the five indices $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4, \nu_5)$.

Radiative X-decay

The two conditions reduce the set of independent covariants to two. This is the appropriate number of independent covariants since the photon transition is described by two independent amplitudes as e.g. by the E1 and M2 transition amplitudes. One has

$$\Gamma(\mathsf{X} \to \gamma \; \mathsf{J}\!/\!\psi) = \frac{1}{12\pi} \; \frac{|\vec{\mathsf{q}}_2|}{\mathsf{m}_\mathsf{X}^2} \left(|\mathsf{H}_\mathsf{L}|^2 + |\mathsf{H}_\mathsf{T}|^2 \right) = \frac{1}{12\pi} \; \frac{|\vec{\mathsf{q}}_2|}{\mathsf{m}_\mathsf{X}^2} \left(|\mathsf{A}_\mathsf{E1}|^2 + |\mathsf{A}_\mathsf{M2}|^2 \right),$$

where the helicity amplitudes H_L and H_T are expressed in terms of the Lorentz amplitudes as

$$\begin{split} H_L &= i \frac{m_X^2}{m_{J/\psi}} |\vec{q}_2|^2 \Big[W_1 + W_3 - \frac{m_{J/\psi}^2}{m_X |\vec{q}_2|} W_4 \Big] \,, \\ H_T &= -i m_X |\vec{q}_2|^2 \Big[W_1 + W_2 - \Big(1 + \frac{m_{J/\psi}^2}{m_X |\vec{q}_2|} \Big) \, W_4 \Big] \,, \\ &\quad |\vec{q}_2| = \frac{m_X^2 - m_{J/\psi}^2}{2m_X} \,. \end{split}$$

The E1 and M2 multipole amplitudes are obtained via

 $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{E1/M2}} = (\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{L}} \mp \mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{T}})/\sqrt{2}.$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Covariant quark confinement model Form factors for B (Bs) meson decays Light baryons and their electromagnetic interactions X(3872)-meson as a tetraqu

Radiative X-decay



If one takes $\Lambda_X \in (3,4)$ GeV with the central value $\Lambda_X = 3.5$ GeV then our prediction for the ratio of widths reads

$$\frac{\Gamma(X_{\rm I} \rightarrow \gamma + J/\psi)}{\Gamma(X_{\rm I} \rightarrow J/\psi + 2\pi)}\Big|_{\rm theor} = 0.15 \pm 0.03$$

which fits very well the experimental data from the Belle Collaboration

Summary and outlook

- We have presented a refined covariant quark model which includes infrared confinement of quarks.
- We have calculated the transition form factors of the heavy B and B_s mesons to light pseudoscalar and vector mesons, which are needed as ingredients for the calculation of the semileptonic, nonleptonic, and rare decays of the B and B_s mesons. Our form factor results hold in the full kinematical range of momentum transfer.
- We have made use of the calculated form factors to calculate the nonleptonic decays $B_s \to D_s \bar{D}_s$... and $B_s \to J/\psi \phi$, which have been widely discussed recently in the context of $B_s \bar{B}_s$ -mixing and CP violation.
- We have applied our approach to baryon physics by using the same values of the constituent quark masses and infrared cutoff as in meson sector.
- We have calculated the nucleon magnetic moments and charge radii and also electromagnetic form factors at low energies.

Summary and outlook

- The properties of the X(3872) as a tetraquark are studied in the framework of a covariant quark model with infrared confinement.
- The matrix elements of the off-shell transitions $X \rightarrow J/\psi + \rho(\omega)$ and $X \rightarrow D + \overline{D}^*$ are calculated.
- The obtained results are then used to evaluate the widths of the experimentally observed decays $X \rightarrow J/\psi + 2\pi(3\pi)$ and $X \rightarrow D^0 + \overline{D}^0 + \pi^0$.
- The possible impact of the X(3872) on the J/ψ -dissociation process was disscussed.
- We have calculated the matrix element of the transition $X \rightarrow \gamma + J/\psi$ and have shown its gauge invariance. We have evaluated the $X \rightarrow \gamma + J/\psi$ decay width and the polarization of the J/ψ in the decay.
- The comparison with available experimental data allows one to conclude that the X(3872) can be a tetraquark state.