

Forward-Backward Multiplicity Correlations with the ALICE experiment in pp collisions at sqrt(s)=0.9, 2.76 and 7 TeV

G. Feofilov *for the ALICE Collaboration*

Relativistic Nuclear Physisc and Quantum Chromodynamics XXI International Baldin Seminar on High Energy Physics Problems Baldin ISHEPP-XXI, 10-15 September 2012, JINR, Dubna, Russia

Outline

- Introduction: physics motivation
- Experimental method: event and track selection
- Corrections and Systematic errors
- Results and discussion, model comparison
- Conclusions

Experimental study of forward-backward correlations by ALICE:

- "Long-range (forward-backward) pT and multiplicity correlations in ALICE in pp collisions at 900 GeV", G.Feofilov, for ALICE Collaboration, Proceedings of The XX International Baldin Seminar on High Energy Physics Problems "*Relativistic Nuclear Physics and Quantum Chromodynamics*", JINR, October 4-9, 2010 , Dubna, Russia
- 2) "Long-range (Forward-Backward) pt and Multiplicity Correlations in pp collisions at 0.9 and 7 TeV", G.Feofilov (for ALICE Collaboration), QM-2011, poster report, Annecy, France.

LRC: a general question WHY? (both for pp and AA)



Causality requires that correlations – if they exist – of Long Range in rapidity between particles (A and B) detected in any type of collisions in separated rapidity intervals must be made very early:



A.Dumitru et al./ Nuclear Physics A 810 (2008) 91-108



X. ARTRU and G. MENNESS1ER, "STRING MODEL AND MULTIPRODUCTION", Nuclear Physics B70 (1974) 93-115

Theoretical Motivations



2-stage scenario of color string formation and decay:

A.Capella, U.P.Sukhatme, C.--I.Tan and J.Tran Thanh Van, Phys. Lett. **B81** (1979) 68; Phys. Rep.,236(1994) 225.

A.B.Kaidalov K.A.Ter-Martirosyan, Phys.Lett., **117B**(1982) 247.



> Do these color strings interact and what is the signal?

Abramovskii V. A., Gedalin E. V., Gurvich E. G., Kancheli O. V., JETP Lett., vol.47, 337-339, 1988.

Today:

(1) Color string fusion phenomenon: M.A.Braun and C.Pajares,

Phys. Lett. B287 (1992) 154; Nucl. Phys. B390(1993) 542, 549;

(2) Color Glass Condensate and Glasma flux tubes: see e.g. L.McLerran, Nucl.Phys.A699,73c(2002)

...both (1) and (2) are defining the initial conditions before the QGP and predicting the LRC – but in a different way!

(see N.S.Amelin et al. Phys. Rev. Lett., 73 (1994) 2813).

Forward-Backward (Long Range) Correlations:

for observables measured in two non-overlapping intervals in pseudorapidity space



n_B, n_F – the event multiplicity in the BACKWARD and FORWARD pseudorapidity windows

Configurations of pseudorapidity intervals in our study:



Pseudorapidity region here is limited to $|\eta| < 0.8$



Two methods of calculation of multiplicity correlation coefficient **b**

> Linear regression [1]: $< n_B > n_F = a + b * n_F$ > Correlator [2]: $b = \frac{< N_f N_b > - < N_f > < N_b >}{< N_f^2 > - < N_f >^2} = \frac{D_{bf}^2}{D_{ff}^2}$

UA5 Collaboration, Z.Phys,C-Particles and Fields 37,191-213 (1988)
 A.Capella et al.,Phys.Rep. 236,225(1994)

The first early experimental indications of LRC (1988)

Charged particle correlations in *pp* coll at c.m. energies of 200, 546 and 900 Ge

UA5 Collaboration

Z. Phys. C - Particles and Fields 37, 191-213 (1988)

$$< n_B > a + b * n_F$$





0





K. Aamodt et al. (ALICE), JINST, 3, S08002 (2008)

Tracking of charg	ged particles in ALICE
Detectors involved:	
Time Pro	Inner Tracking System (ITS) and jection Chamber (TPC)
Track Selection	
"Soft" p _T region 0.3-1.5 GeV/c	7 region: {-0.8,+0.8}
Event Selection/vertexing	
Vz (max) 5 cm	
Collision energies and number	900 GeV Runs 2 mln
of events:	2.76 TeV Runs 10 mln 7TeV Runs 6.5 mln





Two alternative methods of *b* **calculation** $\delta \eta = 0.2$



Good agreement is obtained





Factors that may influence the event multiplicity and correlations:

- Event and track selection criteria
 - vertex and track cuts criteria were varied between certain values
- Possibility of pile-up
 - runs with high luminosities were checked
- Methods of analysis and procedures of corrections

- various alternative procedures and methods

Two alternative procedures of corrections of *b* and of systematic error estimates

> 1. MC PYTHIA (Perugia0):

MC+GEANT vs True PYTHIA in 0.3<p_T<1.5 GeV/c interval

> 2. Efficiency corrections using systematics study results



Procedure 1: b correction using MC/(MC+Geant)



Systematics uncertainties are ~3% using Procedure 1



b correction via MC using correlator

$$b = \frac{\langle N_{f} N_{b} \rangle - \langle N_{f} \rangle \langle N_{b} \rangle}{\langle N_{f}^{2} \rangle - \langle N_{f} \rangle^{2}} = \frac{D_{bf}^{2}}{D_{ff}^{2}}$$

Efficiency is defined as : (MC + Geant)/ MC . This ratio is done for each quantity like : $\langle Nf \rangle_{(MC + Geant)} / \langle Nf \rangle_{(MC)}$ $\langle Nb \rangle_{(MC + Geant)} / \langle Nb \rangle_{(MC)}$ $\langle NfNb \rangle_{(MC + Geant)} / \langle NfNb \rangle_{(MC)}$ $\langle Nf^2 \rangle_{(MC + Geant)} / \langle Nf^2 \rangle_{(MC)}$

Each quantity of data is corrected by these factors . Taking corrected quantities <Nb>,<nF>,<NbNf> "b" is calculated.

Two alternative methods of correction *b* using MC PYTHIA give the same results within 2%

9/12/2012

Procedure 2: b correction using systematics curve



Example of *b* calculation* pp@7TeV, $\delta \eta = 0.2$





ALI-PERF-41859

On this plot several corrected points are shown!

*for fit function, see: M.A. Braun, R.S. Kolevatov, C. Pajares, V.V. Vechernin, Eur. Phys. J. C32, 535 (2004). V.V. Vechernin, arXiv:1012.0214, 2010

ZOOMED: Corrections both for *b* and for



Example of *b* calculation



Two alternative procedures of correction - (1) using systematics curve and (2) via MC PYTHIA correction - give the same results within 2-4%

Summary of systematic errors



sources	0.9 TeV	2.76 TeV	7 TeV
TPC Clusters	3.0 %	0.13 %	0.7%
ITS Clusters	1.9 %		1.4 %
DCA	1.5 %	1.8 %	1.0 %
VertexZ	1.1 %	1.0 %	0.7 %
Procedure(method)	4.0 %	4.2 %	2.8 %
Pile up	1%	< 1%	<1 %
Total	4.5 %	4.2 %	3 %

Results: Correlation strength vs. η gap and for different η bin-widths.







\succ *b* increases with increasing $\delta \eta$ bin-width

12 September 2012

Results: Correlation strength vs. *η* gap and comparison to PYTHIA (Perugia 0)



ALI-PREL-41787

- > Correlation strength with η gap for three different energies is presented . Shaded region represent the systematic errors.
- \succ Correlation strength increases with \sqrt{s} .

PYTHIA overestimates b at lowest energy and underestimates b at highest energy 12 September 2012

20

Results: Correlation strength vs. bin width ($\delta \eta$) for η gap=0 and comparison to PYTHIA Perugia 0



0.2

0.3

0.4

0.5

0.6

0.7

0.8

0.9

ALI-PREL-41795

0.7

0.6

0.5 q

0.3

0.2

Ratio (Data/MC) 80 (Data/MC) 80 (Data/MC)

0.3<p_<1.5 (GeV/c)

انتبابتنا بتنابت

0.6

0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5

η gap = 0

 \succ Correlation strength is plotted with bin width ($\delta \eta$) for all the energies.

0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9

δn

- b increases with increasing bin-width and shows a tendency to saturate for higher bin-width.
- > PYTHIA (black line) shows similar trend as data.

0.8

0.7

0.9



Results: Ratio of correlation strength *b* at 7 TeV and 2.76 TeV wrt 0.9 TeV vs. η gap



Red Points = Ratio between values of $b_{corr.}$ at 7TeV and 0.9 TeV Blue Point = Ratio between values of b_{corr} at 2.76 TeV and 0.9 TeV ($\delta \eta$ bin-width = 0.2)





Strong non-linear dependence of the Forward-Backward multiplicity correlation coefficient value on the width of the pseudorapidity windows is observed in the region of $|\eta| < 0.8$.

The general growth of the Forward-Backward multiplicity correlations strength with energy is obtained in pp collisions study in ALICE at 0.9, 2.76 and 7 TeV

> The first comparison with the PYTHIA Perugia-0 calculations on the dependence of the correlation strength on the collision energy, the width and the position of pseudorapidity windows show that the experimental data impose new constraints on the theoretical models.