ANALYSIS OF DIFFERENCES ON PSEUDORAPIDITY MULTIPLICITIES SPECTRUM AT LHC AND UA1/UA5 EXPERIMENTS

DMITRIEV ALEKSANDR, NOVGOROD STATE UNIVERSITY

September 12, 2012

Background of this work is an ATLAS CMS and ALICE

experimental observation that UA1 spectra is significantly higher (by ~ 1.15) than LHC one. Our research groups explain this effect by actual difference at pp and $p\bar{p}$ inclusive spectra, but experimenalists explain this observation by UA1 specific procedure of selecting NSD events by two-arm trigger. Analysis of two-arm UA1 trigger and comparing one with ATLAS, ALICE and CMS triggers is not a subject of this work.

I analyse here only rapidity spectras of produced particles at LCH and UA1/UA5.

Atlas and UA1 experiments reports pseudorapidity multiplicity spectrums at different transverse momentum ranges, whole range $p_t > 0 Gev$ for UA1 and $p_t > 500 Mev$ [arxiv:1003.3124] for ATLAS. So, to compare this datas, one should to estimate $\frac{dN}{d\eta}(p_t > 500 Mev)$ from generic UA1 data and compare with ATLAS data. UA1 gave parametrisation of p_t distribution in form

$$E\frac{d^{3}\sigma}{dp^{3}} = A(1 + p_{t}/p_{t0})^{-n}$$
(1)

with $A = 382, p_{t0} = 1.56, n = 9.96$ at $\sqrt{s} = 900 GeV$.

From this parametrisation, we get correction

$$\frac{dN}{d\eta}(p_t > 500Mev) =$$
(2)

$$\frac{dN}{d\eta}(p_t > 0Mev) \times \frac{\int_{500Mev}^{\infty} p(1 + p_t/p_{t0})^{-n} dp_t}{\int_{0Mev}^{\infty} p(1 + p_t/p_{t0})^{-n} dp_t}$$
(3)

$$= 3.48 * 0.32 = 1.11$$
 (4)

The ATLAS value \sim 1.35 is higher than this estimation.

The same analysis for later ATLAS [arxiv:1012.5104] for $\frac{dN}{d\eta}(p_t > 100 Mev) \sim 3.5$ gives lower 3.14 estimation from UA1. ALICE [arxiv:1004.3034] and CMS get the same or slightly higher multiplicity, than UA1 and UA5 experiments.



This values of $\frac{dN}{d\eta}$ is in clear contrast with analysis of p_t spectra, there UA1 data is 15% higher than ATLAS, CMS an ALICE data.



So, the question is, how to remove this inconsistency and what kind of data we must prefer for analysis.

ALICE data is accurate enought down to 100 Mev. Fraction of particles in umeasured area is above 5%.

In UA1 and UA5 data obtained only down to 250 Mev. Fraction of particles in umeasured area is above 35%. So, the ambiguity of continuation to low transverce momenta may be sufficient and give additional systematic uncertainty to rapidity spectra.

Equality of pp and $p\bar{p}$ inclusive cross sections is commonly used. This work is based on assumption about significant difference of pp and $p\bar{p}$ inclusive cross sections, and, so, we can not use ALICE (or other LHC experiments) data for determination of continuation UA1 $p\bar{p}$ spectra to low p_t .

In UA1 original paper exponential continuation to low p_t was developed:

$$E\frac{d^3\sigma}{dp^3} = Be^{-bm_t} \text{ for } p_t > p_t^*$$
(5)

$$m_t = \sqrt{m_\pi^2 + p_t^2} \tag{6}$$

$$E\frac{d^{3}\sigma}{dp^{3}} = A(1 + p_{t}/p_{t0})^{-n} \text{ for } p_{t} > p_{t}^{*}$$
(7)

This exponential modification does not influence significantly to measured rapidity spectra and averaged p_t . Let's estimate, how more significant modification of low transverse momentum spectra influence on measured values.

Highest hypotesys is motivated by generator simulations with peak around $p_t = 0$.

Lowest physically motivated hypotesys on behavior of spectra is that spectra $\frac{1}{p_t}\frac{dN}{dp_t}d\eta$ saturates at low pt, see lower curve on Fig.3.





After integration one can get

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{low hypotesys} & \frac{dN}{d\eta} = 3.39 & < p_t > = 0.49 GeV \quad (8) \\ \text{actual data} & \frac{dN}{d\eta} = 3.8 & < p_t > = 0.448 GeV \quad (9) \\ \text{high hypotesys} & \frac{dN}{d\eta} = 3.94 & < p_t > = 0.436 GeV(10) \end{array}$$

So, additional systematic uncertanity on rapidity spectra $\frac{dN}{d\eta}$ is estimated abot 15%, which makes rapidity spectra data compatable with transverse momenta spectra data.

Average momentum data range is compatable with our estimation of disparances too:



Conclusion

Equality of rapidity spectra for pp and $p\bar{p}$ is not surely stated, while $\frac{dN}{dp_t d\eta}$ is more generic one and clearly shows difference between pp and $p\bar{p}$ inclusive spectras.

