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$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha \approx \frac{1}{137} \\
\Gamma_{\mu}=2 M\left(G_{E}-G_{M}\right) \frac{P_{\mu}+P_{\mu}^{\prime}}{\left(P+P^{\prime}\right)^{2}}+G_{M} \gamma_{\mu} \\
G_{E} \equiv G_{E}\left(q^{2}\right) \text { and } G_{M} \equiv G_{M}\left(q^{2}\right) \text { - form factors }
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
e N \rightarrow e N
$$

The nucleon form factors (NFFs) are fundamental observables

- NFFs are used in calculations of the e.m. properties of more complicated objects (the deuteron, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He},{ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$, etc.)
- NFFs give information about structure of the nucleon.
- Size of the nucleon

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q^{2} & \equiv-q^{2} \ll m_{N}^{2} \\
Q^{2} & \equiv-q^{2} \gg m_{N}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Quark counting rules, pQCD, etc.

Rosenbluth separation method

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega} \sim G_{E}^{2}+\frac{\tau}{\epsilon} G_{M}^{2} \\
\tau=\frac{Q^{2}}{4 M^{2}} \\
\epsilon=\left[1+2(1+\tau) \tan ^{2} \frac{\theta_{e}}{2}\right]^{-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

Recoil polarization
A.I.Akhiezer and M.P.Rekalo, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (1968)

$$
\frac{\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{E}}}{\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{M}}}=-\frac{\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{t}}}{\mathrm{P}_{1}} \times \frac{\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{e}}+\mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{e}^{\prime}}\right)}{2 \mathrm{M}} \tan \left(\theta_{\mathrm{e}} / 2\right) \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\overrightarrow{\mathbf{e}}+\mathbf{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{e}+\mathbf{p}^{\uparrow} \\
\overrightarrow{\mathrm{e}}+\mathbf{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{e}+\overrightarrow{\mathrm{p}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

The precision level of present-day electron-proton scattering experiments makes it necessary to take into account effects beyond Born approximation


Are factorized out $d \sigma=d \sigma^{(1)}\left[1+\delta\left(q^{2}\right)\right]$


Destroy the Rosenbluth formula

## Second order diagrams

- Scattering amplitude

$$
\mathcal{M}=\frac{4 \pi \alpha}{q^{2}} \bar{u}^{\prime} \gamma_{\mu} u \cdot \bar{U}^{\prime}\left(\gamma^{\mu} \tilde{F}_{1}-\frac{1}{4 M}\left[\gamma^{\mu}, \hat{q}\right] \tilde{F}_{2}+\frac{P^{\mu}}{M^{2}} \hat{K} \tilde{F}_{3}\right) U
$$

depends on three amplitudes (form factors) $\tilde{F}_{1}, \tilde{F}_{2}$. and $\tilde{F}_{3}$

- The amplitudes are functions of two variables,

$$
q^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \varepsilon=\left[\nu^{2}+t\left(4 M^{2}-t\right)\right] /\left[\nu^{2}-t\left(4 M^{2}-t\right)\right] .
$$

- The form factors are complex.

The real part of the amplitude contributes to the reaction cross section

The imagine part of the amplitude determines SINGLE SPIN ASYMMETRIES.

Single spin asymmetry

$$
e N \rightarrow e N
$$



Normal spin asymmetry

$$
\mathcal{A}_{n}=\frac{\sigma_{\uparrow}-\sigma_{\downarrow}}{\sigma_{\uparrow}+\sigma_{\downarrow}}
$$

There are two types of the normal spin asymmetries, related to spins of the four particles in the reaction

- Target spin asymmetry, $A_{n}$.
- Beam spin asymmetry, $B_{n}$.

It has been known for a long time that the $2 \gamma$ exch. can generate single-spin normal asymmetry

- N.F. Mott, Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser.A124, 425 (1929) Noted that asymmetry is due to spin-orbit coupling in the Coulomb scattering of electrons
- N.F. Mott, Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser.A135, 429 (1935) Spin asymmetry of beam electron
- A.O. Barut and C. Fronsdal, 1960
- F.Guerin and C.D. Picketty, 1964
- ... 70-th

1965-70: Attempts to measure such effects were done, but only upper limit for the target and recoil proton spin asymmetry were reported.

2001-04: First measurements of the $B_{n}$ were done by SAMPLE Coll. (MIT/Bates) and MAMI/A4 Coll. (Mainz).

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.\left.\sigma_{\uparrow} \sim\left|\left\langle k^{\prime} P^{\prime}\right| T\right| k P \uparrow\right\rangle\left.\right|^{2}, \quad \sigma_{\downarrow} \sim\left|\left\langle k^{\prime} P^{\prime}\right| T\right| k P \downarrow\right\rangle\left.\right|^{2} \\
\left.\left.\left.\left|\left\langle k^{\prime} P^{\prime}\right| T\right| k P \downarrow\right\rangle\left.\right|^{2}=|\langle k P \uparrow| T| k^{\prime} P^{\prime}\right\rangle\left.\right|^{2}=\left|\left\langle k^{\prime} P^{\prime}\right| T^{+}\right| k P \uparrow\right\rangle\left.\right|^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\sigma_{\uparrow}-\sigma_{\downarrow} \sim \frac{1}{2}\left\langle k^{\prime} P^{\prime}\right| T-T^{+}|k P \uparrow\rangle\langle k P \uparrow| T+T^{+}\left|k^{\prime} P^{\prime}\right\rangle+\text { c.c. } \sim \Im m T_{2 \gamma}
$$

$$
i\left(T_{f i}-\stackrel{*}{T}_{i f}\right)=\sum_{n} T_{f n} \stackrel{*}{T}_{i n}
$$



- Single asymmetries $A_{n}$ and $B_{n}$ disappear in the $1 \gamma$-exch.
- The asymmetries are proportional to the Im part of the $2 \gamma$-exch., which is simpler to theoretical analysis that the Re part of the $2 \gamma$-exch.
- From the unitarity it is related to the electroproduction amplitudes
- All intermediate particles are on the mass shell
- Electroproduction amplitudes can be taken from experiment


# Target Spin Normal Asymmetry 

$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}}$

$$
A=\frac{i \alpha q^{2}}{2 \pi^{2} D} \int_{M^{2}}^{s} \frac{s-W^{2}}{8 s} d W^{2} \int d \Omega^{\prime \prime} \frac{1}{q_{1}^{2} q_{2}^{2}} L^{\alpha \mu \nu} \sum_{\lambda_{p}, \lambda_{p}^{\prime}} W_{\mu \nu}\left(P^{\prime} \lambda_{p}^{\prime} ; P \lambda_{p}\right) \bar{u}_{\lambda_{p}}(P)\left(-\gamma^{5} \hat{S} \Gamma_{\alpha}\right) u_{\lambda_{p}}\left(P^{\prime}\right)
$$

$$
\text { where } \gamma^{5} \hat{S} \equiv \gamma^{5} \gamma^{\mu} S_{\mu} \text { is the operator of spin projection }
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{\mu}=A \epsilon_{\mu \nu \sigma \tau} k^{\nu} P^{\sigma} P^{\prime \tau} \quad S^{2}=-1 \\
D=\frac{16\left(\left(s-M^{2}\right)^{2}+s q^{2}\right)}{4 M^{2}-q^{2}}\left(4 M^{2} G_{E}^{2}-q^{2} G_{M}^{2}\right)+8 q^{4} G_{M}^{2} \\
L^{\alpha \mu \nu}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{k}^{\prime} \gamma^{\mu} \hat{k^{\prime \prime}} \gamma^{\nu} \hat{k} \gamma^{\alpha}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

The electron mass $m=0$

$$
W_{\mu \nu}\left(P^{\prime} \lambda_{p}^{\prime} ; P \lambda_{p}\right)=(2 \pi)^{4} \sum_{h} \delta\left(P+k-P^{\prime \prime}-k^{\prime \prime}\right)\left\langle P^{\prime} \lambda_{p}^{\prime}\right| J_{\mu}|h\rangle\langle h| J_{\nu}\left|P \lambda_{p}\right\rangle
$$

$$
\text { Models for } W_{\mu \nu}
$$

- $\mathbf{h}=$ proton, elastic contribution [A.J.G Hey, 1971]
- C.-B. inequality estimate

$$
\left.\left.\left.\sum_{h}\left|\left\langle P^{\prime} \lambda_{p}^{\prime}\right| J_{\mu}\right| h\right\rangle\langle h| J_{\nu}\left|P \lambda_{p}\right\rangle \mid \leq\left.\left(\sum_{h}\left|\left\langle P^{\prime} \lambda_{p}^{\prime}\right| J_{\mu}\right| h\right\rangle\right|^{2} \sum_{h}\left|\langle h| J_{\nu}\right| P \lambda_{p}\right\rangle\left.\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

[A. De Rujula, J.M. Kaplan and E. de Rafael, 1972]

- partonic calculations at large $Q^{2}$ [A. Afanasev et al., 2003]
$\bullet \mathbf{h}=\mathbf{N}$ and $\pi \mathbf{N}$ [B. Pasquini and M. Vanderhaegen, 2004]

$$
\text { D.Borisyuk and A.K. Phys. Rev. C } 72035207 \text { (2005): }
$$

## contribution of resonances

1st res. region - $P_{33}(1232)$
2nd res. region - $D_{13}(1520), S_{11}(1535)$
3rd res. region - many resonances. We include $F_{15}$ (1680) only
And also $P_{11}$ (1440)

Electroproduction amplitudes

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{\lambda}^{(h)}\left(q^{2}\right)=\varepsilon_{\mu}^{(\lambda)}\left\langle h, \lambda+{ }^{1} / 2\right| J^{\mu}\left|P^{1} / 2\right\rangle, \quad \lambda= \pm 1,0 \\
W_{\mu \nu}\left(P^{\prime} \lambda_{p}^{\prime} ; P \lambda_{p}\right)=\sum_{\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}}(-1)^{\lambda+\lambda^{\prime}} \varepsilon_{1 \nu}^{\left(2 \lambda_{p} \lambda\right)} \stackrel{*}{\varepsilon}_{\varepsilon_{2 \mu}\left(2 \lambda_{p}^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime}\right)} \times \\
\times \sum_{h}^{\prime}(2 \pi)^{4} \delta\left(P+q_{1}-P^{\prime \prime}\right) f_{\lambda}^{(h)}\left(q_{1}^{2}\right) \stackrel{*}{f_{\lambda^{\prime}}^{(h)}\left(q_{2}^{2}\right) \cdot \eta_{h}^{\lambda_{p}-\lambda_{p}^{\prime}} \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_{p}(2 \lambda+1), \lambda_{p}^{\prime}\left(2 \lambda^{\prime}+1\right)}^{\left(s_{h}\right)}(0, \beta, 0)} \\
A=\frac{\alpha q^{2}}{\pi D} \int_{M^{2}}^{s} \frac{s-W^{2}}{8 s} d W^{2} \int d \Omega^{\prime \prime} \frac{1}{q_{1}^{2} q_{2}^{2}} \times \\
\times \sum_{h}^{\prime}(2 \pi)^{3} \delta\left(P+k-P^{\prime \prime}-k^{\prime \prime}\right) \sum_{\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}} f_{\lambda}^{(h)}\left(q_{1}^{2}\right) \stackrel{*}{f}{ }_{\lambda^{\prime}}^{(h)}\left(q_{2}^{2}\right) X_{\lambda \lambda^{\prime}}^{(h)}\left(W, q_{1}^{2}, q_{2}^{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

For a stable particle (e.g. proton): $\sum_{h}{ }^{\prime}(2 \pi)^{3} \delta\left(P+q-P^{\prime \prime}\right)=\delta\left(W^{2}-M^{2}\right)$
For the resonance: $\delta\left(W^{2}-M_{R}^{2}\right) \rightarrow \frac{\Gamma_{R} M_{R}}{\pi} \frac{1}{\left(W^{2}-M_{R}^{2}\right)^{2}+M_{R}^{2} \Gamma_{R}^{2}}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{h}^{\prime}(2 \pi)^{3} \delta\left(P+q-P^{\prime \prime}\right) f^{(h)}\left(q_{1}^{2}\right) \stackrel{*}{f}(h)\left(q_{2}^{2}\right) \rightarrow \\
f^{(p)}\left(q_{1}^{2}\right) \stackrel{*}{f}(p)\left(q_{2}^{2}\right) \delta\left(W^{2}-M^{2}\right)+\sum_{R} f^{(R)}\left(q_{1}^{2}\right) \stackrel{*}{f} f^{(R)}\left(q_{2}^{2}\right) \frac{\Gamma_{R} M_{R}}{\pi} \frac{1}{\left(W^{2}-M_{R}^{2}\right)^{2}+M_{R}^{2} \Gamma_{R}^{2}} \\
f_{1}^{(p)}\left(q^{2}\right) \equiv 0, \quad f_{0}^{(p)}\left(q^{2}\right)=2 M G_{E}\left(q^{2}\right), \quad f_{-1}^{(p)}\left(q^{2}\right)=-G_{M}\left(q^{2}\right) \sqrt{-2 q^{2}} \\
f_{1} \sim A_{3 / 2}, \quad f_{-1} \sim A_{1 / 2}, \quad f_{0} \sim S_{1 / 2}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Numerical results, resonances contribution



## Numerical results

$E_{\text {lab }}=0.57 \mathrm{GeV}$

$E_{\text {lab }}=1.4 \mathrm{GeV}$

$E_{\text {lab }}=0.855 \mathrm{GeV}$


$$
E_{\mathrm{lab}}=2 \mathrm{GeV}
$$



- The $\Delta(1232)$ contribution is dominant among other resonances
- The contribution of the Roper resonance was obtained to be not negligible
- The contributions from the $\Delta$ and other resonances have mostly opposite sign and tend to cancel each other, especially at high beam energy, so the asymmetry is defined mostly by proton contribution


# Beam Spin Normal Asymmetry 

 $B_{n}$D.Borisyuk and A.K., Phys. Rev. C 045210 (2006)



Hadronic tensor: $W_{\mu \nu}\left(P^{\prime} \lambda_{p}^{\prime} ; P \lambda_{p}\right)=\sum_{h}(2 \pi)^{4} \delta\left(P+k-P^{\prime \prime}-k^{\prime \prime}\right)\left\langle P^{\prime} \lambda_{p}^{\prime}\right| J_{\mu}|h\rangle\langle h| J_{\nu}\left|P \lambda_{p}\right\rangle$
$\underline{\text { Leptonic tensor: }} L^{\alpha \mu \nu}=-\operatorname{Tr}\left\{\left(\hat{k}^{\prime}+m\right) \gamma^{\mu}\left(\hat{k}^{\prime \prime}+m\right) \gamma^{\nu}(\hat{k}+m) \gamma^{5} \hat{S} \gamma^{\alpha}\right\} \sim m \quad!!!$

- Contrary to the TNSA $A_{n}$, the BNSA $B_{n}$ vanishes in the $m \rightarrow 0$ limit.
- We cannot neglect $m$ completely
- Instead we will systematically neglect $o(m)$ terms.
- $B_{n}$ contains logarithmic and double-logarithmic terms

$$
\sim m \ln \frac{Q^{2}}{m^{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad \sim m \ln ^{2} \frac{Q^{2}}{m^{2}},
$$

which is not the case for $A_{n}$.

$$
I=m \int \frac{d^{3} k^{\prime \prime}}{2 \epsilon^{\prime \prime}} \frac{1}{q_{1}^{2} q_{2}^{2}} Y\left(W, q_{1}^{2}, q_{2}^{2}\right)+o(m)
$$

- If we put $m=0$ in the integrand, the integral will have two types of singularities:
- When $q_{1}^{2} \rightarrow 0$, but $q_{2}^{2}$ is finite or vice versa, $q_{2}^{2} \rightarrow 0$, but $q_{1}^{2}$ is finite
- When $W \rightarrow W_{\max }$, i.e. both $q_{1}^{2}$ and $q_{2}^{2} \rightarrow 0$
- For $m \neq 0$ the integral is nonsingular, $\left|q_{1}^{2}\right|_{\max }=\widetilde{Y}=Y-Y_{0}$. After $\left|q_{2}^{2}\right|_{\max } \sim m$. Those "singularities" result in the abovementioned $\ln \frac{Q^{2}}{m^{2}}$ and $\ln ^{2} \frac{Q^{2}}{m^{2}}$ terms.

$$
\text { If } Q^{2}=0.25 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}, \text { then } \ln ^{2} \frac{Q^{2}}{m^{2}} \approx 200
$$

that we integrate each addendum separately, neglecting the terms which are zero in the $m \rightarrow 0$ limit.

$$
\int \frac{d^{3} k^{\prime \prime}}{2 \epsilon^{\prime \prime}} \frac{1}{q_{1}^{2} q_{2}^{2}} Y\left(W, q_{1}^{2}, q_{2}^{2}\right) \approx \frac{\pi Y_{0}(\sqrt{s})}{4 Q^{2}} \ln ^{2} \frac{Q^{2}}{m^{2}}
$$

Approx. of leading Logs

$$
Y_{0}(\sqrt{s})=Y\left(\sqrt{s}, \tilde{q}_{1}^{2}, \tilde{q}_{1}^{2}\right)
$$

where $\tilde{q}_{1}^{2}=\tilde{q}_{2}^{2}=-2 m(\epsilon-m) \approx 0$.

## The photons are very close to real photons

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{\mu \nu}\left(P^{\prime} \lambda_{p}^{\prime} ; P \lambda_{p}\right)=\sum_{\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}= \pm 1} \varepsilon_{1 \nu}^{\left(2 \lambda_{p} \lambda\right)} \stackrel{*}{\varepsilon}_{2 \mu}^{\left(2 \lambda_{p}^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime}\right)} \sum_{h}^{\prime}(2 \pi)^{4} \delta\left(P+k-P^{\prime \prime}\right) f_{\lambda}^{(h)}(0) f_{\lambda^{\prime}}^{(h)}(0) \times \\
& \times \eta_{h}^{\lambda_{p}-\lambda_{p}^{\prime}} \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_{p}(2 \lambda+1), \lambda_{p}^{\prime}\left(2 \lambda^{\prime}+1\right)}^{\left(s_{h}\right)}(0, \theta, 0) \\
& \sum_{h}^{\prime}(2 \pi)^{3} \delta\left(P+k-P^{\prime \prime}\right) f_{\lambda}^{(h)}(0) \stackrel{*}{(h)}_{\lambda^{\prime}}^{(h)}(0) \rightarrow \\
& \rightarrow \frac{4 W\left|\vec{k}_{\pi}\right|}{\pi \alpha}\left|E_{0+}(W)\right|^{2} \delta_{\lambda,-1} \delta_{\lambda^{\prime},-1}+\sum_{R} f_{\lambda}^{(R)}(0) f_{\lambda^{\prime}}^{(R)}(0) \frac{\Gamma_{R} M_{R}}{\pi} \frac{1}{\left(W^{2}-M_{R}^{2}\right)^{2}+M_{R}^{2} \Gamma_{R}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## VALIDITY OF THE APPROXIMATION

Taking into account

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{D}_{\lambda^{\prime} \lambda}(0, \theta, 0) \sim\left(\sin \frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{\left|\lambda-\lambda^{\prime}\right|} \sim Q^{\left|\lambda-\lambda^{\prime}\right|}, \quad \text { at } \quad \theta \rightarrow 0 \\
\text { one gets } \\
B_{n}^{\left(\ln ^{2}\right)} \sim Q^{3} \ln ^{2} \frac{Q^{2}}{m^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

This is valid if

$$
\sin ^{2} \frac{\theta}{2} \ln \frac{Q^{2}}{m^{2}} \gg 1
$$

## ALTERNATIVELY

For the condition

$$
\sin ^{2} \frac{\theta}{2} \ln \frac{Q^{2}}{m^{2}} \ll 1
$$

one has to look for the terms with the lowest power of $Q$ in the limit of forward scattering. As a result one has

$$
B_{n} \approx B_{n}^{\left(\ln ^{1}\right)}=-\frac{2 m\left(s-M^{2}\right)^{2}}{\pi^{2} D}\left(G_{E}+\frac{Q^{2}}{4 M^{2}} G_{M}\right) Q \ln \frac{Q^{2}}{m^{2}} \sigma_{\mathrm{tot}},
$$

where $\sigma_{\text {tot }}$ is the total photoabsorbtion cross-section.
(Afanasev and Merenkov; Borislyuk and Kobushkin)

$$
e^{-}+p \rightarrow e^{-}+p
$$



The following resonances were taken into account:
$\Delta(1232), D_{13}(1520), S_{11}(1535)$

## THE REAL PART

The problem
One does not know the $\gamma^{*} N N$-vertex if one of the nucleon is out of mass shell

$$
\Gamma_{\mu}\left(q^{2} ; p^{2}=M^{2}, p^{\prime 2} \neq M^{2}\right) \neq \gamma_{\mu} F_{1}\left(q^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{4 M} F_{2}\left(q^{2}\right)\left[\gamma_{\mu}, \hat{q}\right]
$$

The uncertainty of these FFs is believed to be the main source of theoretical uncertainty in TPE calculations.

We develop the approach which is based on the dispersion relations.
-At first, the absorptive part of the amplitude is calculated using unitarity. Thus only "on-shell" FFs are needed to evaluate it. -Then the whole amplitude is reconstructed by dispersion relations.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { D.Borisyuk and A.K., Phys. Rev. C } 78025208 \text { (2008) } \\
\text { C } 75038202 \text { (2007) }
\end{array}
$$

## THE MAIN STEPS OF THE CALCULATIONS

## The zero step

The general expression for the scattering amplitude

$$
\mathcal{M}=\frac{4 \pi \alpha}{q^{2}} \bar{u}^{\prime} \gamma_{\mu} u \cdot \bar{U}^{\prime}\left(\gamma^{\mu} \tilde{F}_{1}-\frac{1}{4 M}\left[\gamma^{\mu}, \hat{q}\right] \tilde{F}_{2}+\frac{P^{\mu}}{M^{2}} \hat{K} \tilde{F}_{3}\right) U
$$

We introduce the new set of amplitudes

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{G}_{E}=\tilde{F}_{1}-\tau \tilde{F}_{2}+\nu \tilde{F}_{3} / 4 M^{2} \\
\mathcal{G}_{M}=\tilde{F}_{1}+\tilde{F}_{2}+\varepsilon \nu \tilde{F}_{3} / 4 M^{2} \\
\mathcal{G}_{3}=\nu \tilde{F}_{3} / 4 M^{2} \\
\mathcal{G}_{E}=G_{E}+\mathcal{O}(\alpha) \quad \mathcal{G}_{M}=G_{M}+\mathcal{O}(\alpha) \quad \mathcal{G}_{3} \sim \alpha \\
P=\frac{1}{2}\left(p+p^{\prime}\right) \quad K=\frac{1}{2}\left(k+k^{\prime}\right) \quad t=q^{2} \quad \nu=s-u=4 P K
\end{gathered}
$$

## The first step

We need amplitudes, free from kinematical $u$ and $s$ singularities and zeros. The helicity amplitudes of the process $e^{-} e^{+} \rightarrow p \tilde{p}$ are

$$
\begin{gathered}
T_{++}=4 \pi \alpha \cdot 2 i \cos ^{2} \theta / 2\left(\sqrt{\tau(1+\tau)} \tilde{F}_{3}+\tilde{F}_{m}+\nu \tilde{F}_{3} / 4 M^{2}\right) \\
T_{--}=4 \pi \alpha \cdot 2 i \sin ^{2} \theta / 2\left(\sqrt{\tau(1+\tau)} \tilde{F}_{3}-\tilde{F}_{m}-\nu \tilde{F}_{3} / 4 M^{2}\right) \\
T_{+-}=T_{-+}=4 \pi \alpha \cdot \frac{2 M}{\sqrt{t}} \sin \theta\left(\tilde{F}_{e}+\nu \tilde{F}_{3} / 4 M^{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\cos \theta=-\nu / \sqrt{-t\left(4 M^{2}-t\right)}$. Each of the $T_{\lambda \tilde{\lambda}}$ contains a kinematical factor

$$
\text { of } \sin ^{|\lambda+\tilde{\lambda}-1|} \frac{\theta}{2} \cos ^{|\lambda+\tilde{\lambda}+1|} \frac{\theta}{2} .
$$

The amplitudes free from kinematical singularities are obtained after removing these factors.

$$
G_{1}=\Delta \tilde{F}_{e}+\nu \tilde{F}_{3} / 4 M^{2}, \quad G_{2}=\Delta \tilde{F}_{m}+\nu \tilde{F}_{3} / 4 M^{2}, \quad G_{3} \equiv \tilde{F}_{3}
$$

TPE contributions to the amplitudes $G_{n}$ satisfy fixed- $t$ dispersion relations

$$
\pi G_{n}(\nu)=\int_{\nu_{t h}}^{\infty} \frac{\Im \mathrm{m} G_{n}\left(\nu^{\prime}+i 0\right)}{\nu^{\prime}-\nu} d \nu^{\prime}-\int_{-\infty}^{-\nu_{\text {th }}} \frac{\Im \mathrm{m} G_{n}\left(\nu^{\prime}-i 0\right)}{\nu^{\prime}-\nu} d \nu^{\prime}
$$

and consequently, vanish at $\nu \rightarrow \infty$.

Under crossing $\nu \rightarrow-\nu$ :

$$
G_{1,2}(-\nu)=-G_{1,2}(\nu), \quad G_{3}(-\nu)=G_{3}(\nu) .
$$

(Rekalo and Tomasi-Gustafsson, 2004)

The second step
Equation for the imaginary part of amplitude


The intermediate electron and hadron states are on the mass shell !!!

$$
\begin{gathered}
h=\text { proton - elastic contribution } \\
h=\Delta(1232)-\Delta \text { contribution } \\
\text { ect. } \\
\Im m G_{n}^{(\mathrm{el})}=-\frac{\alpha}{2 \pi} \sum_{i, j=1}^{2} \int \bar{F}_{i}\left(t_{1}\right) \bar{F}_{j}\left(t_{2}\right) A_{n, i j}\left(\nu, t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \theta\left(k_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right) \delta\left(k^{\prime \prime 2}-m^{2}\right) \theta\left(p_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right) \delta\left(p^{\prime \prime 2}-M^{2}\right) d^{4} k^{\prime \prime} \\
\bar{F}_{i}(t)=F_{i}(t) /\left(t-\lambda^{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { The third step } \\
\text { Reconstruction of the real part } \\
G_{n}(\nu)=G_{n, \mathrm{box}}(\nu)+G_{n, \mathrm{xbox}}(\nu) \\
G_{n, \mathrm{box}}(\nu)= \pm G_{n, \mathrm{xbox}}(-\nu)
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus to reconstruct $G_{n}$ it is sufficient to find $G_{n, \text { box }}$. The analytical structure of FFs is such that

$$
\bar{F}_{i}(t)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\lambda^{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Im m \bar{F}_{i}\left(t^{\prime}\right)}{t^{\prime}-t} d t^{\prime}
$$

It is important that the final result is reduced to the integral

$$
\int_{t_{i}, t_{2}<0} d t_{1} d t_{2} \bar{F}_{i}\left(t_{1}\right) \bar{F}_{j}\left(t_{2}\right) A_{n, i j}\left(\nu, t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \ldots
$$

over the time-like region only!

Reply to Prof.V.Karmanov comment to the talk of Prof.C.Perdrisat Of course the off-mass shell effects change the "naive" results obtained with the standard parametrization of the $N N \gamma$ vertex.

Nevertheless, the expressions for $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ remain the same as in the naive approach

$$
\begin{gathered}
G_{1}=G_{1}^{(\text {naive })}, \quad G_{2}=G_{2}^{\text {(naive })} \\
\text { But } \\
G_{3}=G_{3}^{(\text {naive })}+\Delta G_{3}(t) \\
\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{M}^{(\text {naive })}+\frac{4 \pi \alpha}{q^{2} M^{2}} \bar{u}^{\prime} \gamma^{\mu} u \bar{U}^{\prime}\left(P_{\mu} \hat{K}-P K \gamma_{\mu}\right) U \cdot \Delta G_{3}(t) \\
\mathcal{G}_{E}=G_{E}^{(\text {naive })}, \quad \mathcal{G}_{M}=G_{M}^{\text {(naive) }}-\sqrt{\tau(1+\tau)} \sqrt{1-\varepsilon^{2}} \Delta G_{3}(t)
\end{gathered}
$$



Figure 1: The amplitude change $\Delta G_{3}$.
Figure 2: The TPE amplitude $\delta \mathcal{G}_{M}$ obtained in old (dashed line) and new (solid line) approach.

## RESULTS

$$
\frac{\delta \mathcal{G}}{G_{M}}=\frac{\mathcal{G}-\mathcal{G}^{(\text {Born })}-\mathcal{G}^{(\text {Tsai })}}{G_{M}}
$$





$-\delta_{G_{G}} / G_{M}$
$-\delta_{G_{M}} / G_{M}$
$-\delta_{G}{ }_{3} / G_{M}$

## PHENOMENOLOGICAL ANALYSIS

D.Borisyuk and A.K., Phys. Rev. C 76 022201(R) (2007)

The cross-section is "diagonalizes"

$$
\begin{gathered}
d \sigma=\frac{2 \pi \alpha^{2} d t}{E^{2} t} \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}\left(\varepsilon\left|\mathcal{G}_{E}\right|^{2}+\tau\left|\mathcal{G}_{M}\right|^{2}+\tau \varepsilon^{2} \frac{1-\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}\left|\mathcal{G}_{3}\right|^{2}\right)= \\
=\frac{2 \pi \alpha^{2} d t}{E^{2} t} \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}\left(\varepsilon\left|\mathcal{G}_{E}\right|^{2}+\tau\left|\mathcal{G}_{M}\right|^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{2}\right)\right) \\
\sigma_{R}=\varepsilon\left|\mathcal{G}_{E}\right|^{2}+\tau\left|\mathcal{G}_{M}\right|^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

The amplitudes can be decomposed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}_{E}\left(Q^{2}, \varepsilon\right) & =G_{E}\left(Q^{2}\right)+\delta G_{E}^{(T)}\left(Q^{2}, \varepsilon\right)+\delta \mathcal{G}_{E}\left(Q^{2}, \varepsilon\right)+O\left(\alpha^{2}\right) \\
\mathcal{G}_{M}\left(Q^{2}, \varepsilon\right) & =G_{M}\left(Q^{2}\right)+\delta G_{M}^{(T)}\left(Q^{2}, \varepsilon\right)+\delta \mathcal{G}_{M}\left(Q^{2}, \varepsilon\right)+O\left(\alpha^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\delta G_{E, M}^{(T)}+\delta \mathcal{G}_{E, M}$ are TPE corrections of order $\alpha$.
$\delta G_{E, M}^{(T)}$ denotes the part of the correction, calculated by Tsai. Infrared divergence is contained in it.

$$
\sigma_{R}=\varepsilon G_{E}^{2}+\tau G_{M}^{2}+2 \varepsilon G_{E} \delta \mathcal{G}_{E}+2 \tau G_{M} \delta \mathcal{G}_{M}+2 \varepsilon G_{E} \delta G_{E}^{(T)}+2 \tau G_{M} \delta G_{M}^{(T)}+O\left(\alpha^{2}\right)
$$

The terms containing $\delta G_{E, M}^{(T)}$ are always subtracted from the crosssection by experimenters as a part of radiative corrections, so published cross-sections are, dropping terms of order $\alpha^{2}$

$$
\sigma_{R}=\varepsilon G_{E}^{2}+\tau G_{M}^{2}+2 \varepsilon G_{E} \delta \mathcal{G}_{E}+2 \tau G_{M} \delta \mathcal{G}_{M}
$$

The key point is that $G_{M}$ is enhanced with respect to $G_{E}$ by about a factor of $\mu \approx 3$ (proton magnetic moment)

$$
\tau G_{M}^{2} \gg \varepsilon G_{E}^{2} \gg 2 \varepsilon G_{E} \delta \mathcal{G}_{E}, \quad \tau G_{M}^{2} \gg 2 \tau G_{M} \delta \mathcal{G}_{M} \gg 2 \varepsilon G_{E} \delta \mathcal{G}_{E}
$$

Therefore the term $2 \varepsilon G_{E} \delta \mathcal{G}_{E}$ is much smaller than three other terms and can be safely neglected. Instead, the term $2 \tau G_{M} \delta \mathcal{G}_{M}$ can be comparable with $\varepsilon G_{E}^{2}$ and thus strongly affect the results of Rosenbluth separation.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta \mathcal{G}_{M}\left(Q^{2}, \varepsilon\right)=\left[a\left(Q^{2}\right)+\varepsilon b\left(Q^{2}\right)\right] G_{M}\left(Q^{2}\right) \\
\sigma_{R}=\tau G_{M}^{2}+\varepsilon\left(G_{E}^{2}+2 \tau b G_{M}^{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\left(\frac{G_{E}}{G_{M}}\right)^{2}\right|_{\text {Ros.S. }} \equiv R_{\mathrm{LT}}^{2}=\frac{G_{E}^{2}}{G_{M}^{2}}+2 \tau b \\
\left.\quad \frac{G_{E}}{G_{M}}\right|_{\text {Pol.T. }} \equiv R_{L T}=\frac{\mathcal{G}_{E}}{G_{M}}\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)}{1+\varepsilon} Y_{2 \gamma}\right)=\frac{G_{E}}{G_{M}} \pm 1 \%, \quad Y_{2 \gamma}=\frac{\nu}{4 M^{2}} \tilde{F}_{3} \\
G_{M}
\end{aligned}, \quad b=\frac{1}{2 \tau}\left(R_{L T}^{2}-R_{P T}^{2}\right), ~ \$
$$

Extracted TPE correction slope $b\left(Q^{2}\right)$. The dashed curves indicate estimated errors.


Comparison of extracted (dashed lines) and calculated (solid curves) values of TPE amplitude $\delta \mathcal{G}_{M} / G_{M}$.


If the positrons are used instead of electrons, the TPE corrections change their sign. Thus we have for the Rosenbluth FF ratio squared, measured in positron-proton scattering

$$
\tilde{R}_{L T}^{2}=\frac{G_{E}^{2}}{G_{M}^{2}}-2 \tau b
$$


dash-dotted lines indicate estimated $1 \sigma$ bounds for $\mu \tilde{R}_{L T}$.

## CONCLUSIONS

- Effects beyond the Born approximation strongly affect the results of the e.m. structure of the proton
- In the resonance region the normal beam asymmetry agrees with the calculations
- There are no data for the target normal spin asymmetry
- The TPE correction to the amplitude $\mathcal{G}_{M}$ is exactly the quantity which is responsible for the discrepancy between Rosenbluth and polarization transfer methods in the measurements of proton FFs.
- Positron-proton scattering is expected to be strongly affected by TPE contribution.

